



ANTI DOPING POLICY

Approved May 2009



The Golf Union of Wales Ltd Anti-Doping Rules Table of Contents

| Article | Section |
|----------------|---|
| 1.0 | Scope and Application |
| 1.1 | Introduction |
| 1.2 | Application |
| 1.3 | Core Responsibilities |
| 1.4 | Retirement |
| 1.5 | Interpretation |
| 1.6 | Commencement and Amendment |
| 2.0 | Anti-Doping Rule Violations |
| 2.1 | Presence |
| 2.2 | Use or Attempted Use |
| 2.3 | Refusal or failure or otherwise evading Doping Control |
| 2.4 | Whereabouts |
| 2.5 | Tampering or Attempted Tampering with Doping Control |
| 2.6 | Possession |
| 2.7 | Trafficking or Attempted Trafficking |
| 2.8 | Administration or Attempted administration, etc. |
| 3.0 | The Prohibited List |
| 3.1 | Incorporation of the Prohibited List and the International Standard for the Prohibited List |
| 3.2 | Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods Identified on the Prohibited List |
| 3.3 | Specified Substances |
| 3.4 | No Right of Challenge |
| 4.0 | Therapeutic Use Exemptions |
| 4.1 | Incorporation of the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions |
| 4.2 | Scope and Effect of TUEs |
| 4.3 | Grant of a TUE |
| 4.4 | Expiration or Cancellation of a TUE |
| 4.5 | Review of Decision Granting or Denying TUE Application or Revoking or Withdrawing TUE |
| 5.0 | Testing |
| 5.1 | Incorporation of the International Standard for Testing |
| 5.2 | Testing Jurisdiction |
| 5.3 | In-Competition Testing |
| 5.4 | Out-of-Competition Testing |
| 5.5 | Selection of Athletes for Testing |
| 5.6 | Testing of Minors |
| 5.7 | Liability for Testing |
| 6.0 | Analysis of Samples |
| 6.1 | Incorporation of the International Standard for Laboratories |
| 6.2 | Use of Approved Laboratories |
| 6.3 | Substances Subject to Detection |
| 6.4 | Research on Samples |
| 6.5 | Reporting by Laboratories |
| 7.0 | Results Management |
| 7.1 | Responsibility for Results Management |
| 7.2 | Initial Review of Adverse Analytical Findings |
| 7.3 | Review of Atypical Findings |
| 7.4 | Review of Evidence other than Adverse Analytical Findings and Atypical Findings |



| | |
|-------------|---|
| 7.5 | Notice of Charge |
| 7.6 | B Sample Analysis |
| 7.7 | Provisional Suspension |
| 7.8 | Statute of Limitations |
| 8.0 | Disciplinary Proceedings |
| 8.1 | Jurisdiction of the NADP |
| 8.2 | Observers |
| 8.3 | Rules of Evidence and Procedure |
| 8.4 | Publication of Decisions |
| 9.0 | Disqualification of Individual Results |
| 9.1 | Disqualification of Competition Results as a Consequence of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation Committed in Connection with or Arising Out of an In-Competition Test |
| 9.2 | Impact of Disqualification on an Opponent's Results |
| 9.3 | Application of Forfeited Prize Money |
| 10.0 | Ineligibility Sanctions for Individuals |
| 10.1 | Disqualification of Event Results as a Consequence of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation Committed During or in Connection with a Competition in the Event |
| 10.2 | Imposition of a Period of Ineligibility for Presence, Use or Attempted Use, or Possession of Prohibited Substances and/or Prohibited Methods |
| 10.3 | Imposition of a Period of Ineligibility for Other Anti-Doping Rule Violations Offences |
| 10.4 | Elimination or Reduction of the Period of Ineligibility for Specified Substances under Specified Based on Exceptional Circumstances |
| 10.5 | Elimination or Reduction of the Period of Ineligibility Based on Exceptional Circumstances |
| 10.6 | Aggravating Circumstances That May Increase the Period of Ineligibility |
| 10.7 | Rules for Certain Potential Multiple Anti-Doping Rule Violations Offences |
| 10.8 | Disqualification of Results in Competitions Taking Place After the Commission of the Anti-Doping Rule Violation |
| 10.9 | Commencement of Ineligibility Period |
| 10.10 | Status During Ineligibility |
| 10.11 | Reinstatement |
| 11.0 | Consequences To Teams |
| 12.0 | Sanctions Against Sporting Bodies |
| 13.0 | Appeals |
| 13.1 | Appeal Rights |
| 13.2 | Appeals From TUE Decisions |
| 13.3 | Appeals from Provisional Suspensions |
| 13.4 | Appeals from Other Decisions |
| 13.5 | Appeals by WADA |
| 13.6 | Appeals from NADP Appeal Tribunal Decisions |
| 13.7 | Appeal Procedure |
| 14.0 | Confidentiality and Reporting |
| 14.1 | Reporting of Pending Cases |
| 14.2 | Reporting of Testing |
| 14.3 | Reporting under the Code |
| 15.0 | Recognition of Decisions |
| 16.0 | Challenges to a Decision or these Rules |
| 16.1 | Governing Law and Jurisdiction |
| 16.2 | Limitation of Liability |
| 16.3 | Severability |
| 17.0 | Miscellaneous |
| 17.1 | Data |
| 17.2 | Notices |
| 17.3 | Matters Not Otherwise Provided For |



| Appendix | Section |
|-----------------|--|
| 1 | Definitions |
| 2 | International Standard for the Prohibited List International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions |
| 4 | International Standard for Testing |
| 5 | International Standard for Laboratories |
| 6 | International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Data Protection |
| 7 | NAD Procedural Rules |
| 8 | UK Anti-Doping Procedures Guide |



Article 1: Scope and Application

1.1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 These Anti-Doping Rules (as amended from time to time, the **"Rules"**) implement the requirements of the World Anti-Doping Code (the **"Code"**) on a national basis within the sport of amateur golf in Wales.
- 1.1.2 Given that The Golf Union of Wales Ltd has adopted the Rules in an effort to comply with the National Anti-Doping Policy, and given further that the National Anti-Doping Policy prohibits any anti-doping provisions that contradict or undermine the Code, the Rules will be construed and applied on the basis that they are intended to comply with and to implement in all respects the requirements of the Code.
- 1.1.3 The Golf Union of Wales is a member of and subject to the anti-doping jurisdiction of the International Golf Federation in accordance with the anti-doping rules of the International Golf Federation. Where, in matters arising under these Rules, the International Golf Federation mandate a different approach to that taken in these Rules, and such different approach is permitted under the Code, then the different approach mandated by the International Golf Federation shall be followed.

1.2 Application

- 1.2.1 For the purposes of Anti-Doping Control, these Rules shall apply to:
- a. the following persons (**"Players"**):
- i Any person who is a member of an GUW coaching squad and any other person selected to represent Wales at International Events (**"International-Level Players"**); and
 - ii Any person playing in any of the following National Scratch Competitions:
 - The Welsh Boys' Under 15 & Under 13 Championships.
 - The Welsh Girls Under 16 Championship.
 - The Welsh Boys' Championship.
 - The Welsh Girls Championship.
 - The Welsh Men's Open Amateur Stroke Play Championship.
 - The Welsh Ladies Open Amateur Stroke Play Championship.
 - The Welsh Men's Seniors' Open Amateur Championship.
 - The Welsh Ladies Seniors Championship.
 - The Welsh Men's Amateur Championship
 - The Welsh Ladies Close Championship.
- Also, any golfer competing in an Event or Competition organised, convened or recognised by the GUW in which players participate outwith the application of the playing handicap differential otherwise provided for through the Council of National Golf Union's Unified Handicapping System including but not limited to those National Scratch Competitions as specified in this schedule; and
- b. any coach, trainer, manager, agent, team staff, official, nutritionist, medical, paramedical personnel, parent or any other Person working with, treating or assisting a Player participating in or preparing for sports competition (**"Player Support Personnel"**), whether or not such person is a citizen of or resident in the United Kingdom.
- 1.2.2 Each Player/Player Support Personnel (as applicable) shall be deemed to have agreed:



- a. to be bound by and to comply strictly with these Rules (without prejudice to any other anti-doping rules applicable to him);
 - b. to submit to the authority of The Golf Union of Wales Ltd and/or of the NADO (as The Golf Union of Wales Ltd and the NADO may agree between themselves) to apply, police and enforce these Rules;
 - c. to provide all requested assistance to The Golf Union of Wales Ltd and the NADO (as applicable) in the application, policing and enforcement of these Rules, including (without limitation) cooperating fully with any investigation, results management exercise, and/or proceedings being conducted pursuant to these Rules in relation to any potential Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s);
 - d. to submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of any NADP first instance tribunal convened under these Rules to hear and determine charges and related issues arising under these Rules;
 - e. to submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of any NADP appeal tribunal and/or CAS Panel convened under these Rules to hear and determine appeals made pursuant to these Rules; and
 - f. further to Article 16, not to bring any proceedings in any court or other forum that are inconsistent with the foregoing submission to the jurisdiction of the NADP first instance tribunal, the NADP appeal tribunal and CAS.
- 1.2.3 It is acknowledged that certain Participants may also be subject to the anti-doping rules of other Anti-Doping Organisations, including (in the case of International-Level Players) the anti-doping rules of the International Golf Federation, and that the same conduct of such Participants may implicate not only these Rules but also the rules of such other Anti-Doping Organisations. These Rules are not intended to limit the responsibilities of any Participant under such other rules. The jurisdictional and other issues arising when the same conduct implicates these Rules and such other rules shall be resolved in accordance with the Code.
- 1.2.4 Where the rules of the International Golf Federation require The Golf Union of Wales Ltd to take action against a Participant for an alleged Anti-Doping Rule Violation, such action shall be taken by the RMA in accordance with these Rules.
- 1.2.5 For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in these Rules shall be interpreted as limiting the functions and obligations of the NADO as a Signatory to the Code. Nothing in the Rules prevents the NADO from undertaking Doping Control, results management and/or any other anti-doping activity in accordance with any agreement or arrangement with any other Anti-Doping Organisation, International Federation, or other Signatory to the Code, or in accordance with any right or obligation arising under the Code.

1.3 Core Responsibilities

- 1.3.1 It is the personal responsibility of each Player (which may not be delegated to any other Person):
- a. to acquaint himself, and to ensure that each Person (including medical personnel) from whom he takes advice is acquainted, with all of the requirements of these Rules, including (without limitation) being aware of what constitutes an Anti-Doping Rule Violation and what substances and methods are on the Prohibited List; and
 - b. to comply with these Rules in all respects, including:
 - i. taking full responsibility for what he ingests and uses;
 - ii. ensuring that any medical treatment he receives does not infringe these Rules;



- iii. making himself available for Testing at all times, whether In-Competition or Out-of-Competition;
 - iv. when included in a Registered Testing Pool, providing accurate and up-to-date whereabouts information for purposes of Out-of-Competition Testing; and
 - v. cooperating fully with any investigation into potential Anti-Doping Rule Violation under these Rules.
- 1.3.2 It is the personal responsibility of each Player Support Personnel (which may not be delegated to any other Person):
- a. to acquaint him/herself with all of the provisions of these Rules, including (without limitation) being aware of what constitutes an Anti-Doping Rule Violation and what substances and methods are on the Prohibited List;
 - b. to comply with these Rules in all respects;
 - c. to cooperate fully with the Testing of Players;
 - d. to cooperate fully with any investigation into a potential Anti-Doping Rule Violation under these Rules; and
 - e. to use his/her influence on Player values and behaviour to foster anti-doping attitudes.

1.4 Retirement

- 1.4.1 Each Player shall continue to be bound by and required to comply with these Rules unless and until (a) he or she has given written notice to The Golf Union of Wales Ltd that he has retired from representing Wales at amateur golf and from competing in any of the National Scratch Competitions set out at Article 1.2.1(a) (ii); or (b) he or she is deemed to have relinquished amateur status as defined in the Rules of Amateur Status for golf. Where the Player is in the National Registered Testing Pool or Domestic Pool at the time of such retirement, he must also send such notice to the NADO. The Golf Union of Wales Ltd, the NADO, the NADP and CAS (as applicable) shall continue to have jurisdiction over him under these Rules after such retirement in respect of matters taking place prior to retirement.
- 1.4.2 A Player who retires in accordance with Article 1.4.1 at a time when he is in the National Registered Testing Pool or the Domestic Pool may not return to represent Wales or to compete in any of the National Scratch Competitions set out at Article 1.2.1. (a) (ii) unless:
- a. he or she has given The Golf Union of Wales Ltd and the NADO written notice of no less than six months of his or her intent to return; and
 - b. during that notice period he or she has submitted to the application of these Rules and to the jurisdiction of The Golf Union of Wales Ltd, the NADO, the NADP and CAS (as applicable) under the Rules, including by making him or herself available for Out-of-Competition Testing and (if requested by the NADO) by providing information as to his or her whereabouts during the notice period in accordance with IST Article 11.

1.5 Interpretation

- 1.5.1 The Appendices to these Rules shall be considered an integral part of these Rules.



- 1.5.2 Save where otherwise indicated:
- a. references to Articles and Appendices are references to articles of and appendices to these Rules; and
 - b. defined terms used in these Rules (i.e., those words or phrases starting with capitals) shall have the meaning given to them in **Appendix One**.
- 1.5.3 The headings used in these Rules are for convenience only and shall not be deemed part of the substance of these Rules or to affect in any way the language of the provisions to which they refer.
- 1.5.4 Further to Article 1.1.1, these Rules shall be interpreted and applied at all times (a) as an independent and autonomous text and not by reference to existing laws or statutes; and (b) in a manner that is consistent with the Code. The comments annotating various provisions of the Code shall be used, where applicable, to assist in the understanding and interpretation of these Rules.

1.6 Commencement and Amendment

- 1.6.1 These Rules shall come into full force and effect on the Effective Date. They shall not apply retrospectively to matters arising prior to the Effective Date; provided, however, that:
- a. Any case pending prior to the Effective Date, or brought after the Effective Date but based on an anti-doping rule violation that occurred prior to the Effective Date, shall be governed by the rules in force at the time of the anti-doping rule violation, subject to any application of the principle of *lex mitior* by the anti-doping tribunal hearing the case.
 - b. Any whereabouts failure (whether a filing failure or a missed test) declared by The Golf Union of Wales Ltd under rules in force prior to the Effective Date, which has not expired prior to the Effective Date, shall be carried forward and may be relied upon (prior to its expiry in accordance with such rules) as one of the requisite elements of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.4 of these Rules. However:
 - i. a filing failure that is carried forward in this manner may only be relied upon in combination with (post- Effective Date) Filing Failures;
 - ii. a missed test that is carried forward may only be relied upon in combination with (post- Effective Date) Missed Tests; and
 - iii. a filing failure or missed test declared by any Anti-Doping Organisation other than The Golf Union of Wales Ltd prior to the Effective Date may not be combined with any Filing Failure or Missed Test declared under these Rules.
 - c. Where a period of Ineligibility imposed under rules in force prior to the Effective Date has not yet expired as of the Effective Date, the Person who is Ineligible may apply to the RMA for a reduction in the period of Ineligibility in light of the amendments made to the Code as from the Effective Date. To be valid, such application must be made before the period of Ineligibility has expired.
 - d. Subject always to Article 7.8, anti-doping rule violations committed under rules in force prior to the Effective Date shall be taken into account as prior violations for purposes of determining sanctions under Article 10.7. Where such pre-Effective Date violation involved a substance that would be treated as a Specified Substance under these Rules, for which a period of Ineligibility of less than two years was imposed, such violation shall be considered a Reduced Sanction violation for purposes of Article 10.7.1.



- 1.6.2 Amendments to these Rules shall be approved and shall come into effect in the manner prescribed by The Golf Union of Wales Ltd, save that amendments by WADA to the Prohibited List and any International Standard shall come into effect automatically in the manner set out in the Code. Such amendments shall be binding upon all Participants without further formality.

Article 2: Anti-Doping Rule Violations

Each of the acts or omissions set out in Articles 2.1 to 2.8 shall constitute an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under these Rules:

2.1 The presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in a Player's Sample, unless the Player establishes that the presence is consistent with a TUE granted in accordance with Article 4.

- 2.1.1 It is each Player's personal duty to ensure that no Prohibited Substance enters his or her body. A Player is responsible for any Prohibited Substance or any of its Metabolites or Markers found to be present in his or her Sample. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, fault, negligence or knowing Use on the Player's part be demonstrated in order to establish an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.1; nor is the Player's lack of intent, fault, negligence or knowledge a valid defence to a charge that an Anti-Doping Rule Violation has been committed under Article 2.1.
- 2.1.2 Proof of either of the following is sufficient to establish an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.1 to the standard required by Article 8.4.1:
- a. Presence of a Prohibited Substance or any of its Metabolites or Markers in the Player's A Sample, where the Player waives analysis of his or her B Sample and the B Sample is not analysed; or
 - b. Presence of a Prohibited Substance or any of its Metabolites or Markers in the Player's A Sample, where the Player's B Sample is analysed and such analysis confirms the presence in the B Sample of the Prohibited Substance or any of its Metabolites or Markers found in the A Sample.
- 2.1.3 Except in the case of those substances for which a quantitative reporting threshold is specifically identified in the Prohibited List, the detected presence of any quantity of a Prohibited Substance or any of its Metabolites or Markers in a Player's Sample shall constitute an Anti-Doping Rule Violation, unless the Player establishes that such presence is consistent with a TUE granted in accordance with Article 4
- 2.1.4 As an exception to the general rule of Article 2.1.3, the Prohibited List may establish special criteria for the evaluation of Prohibited Substances that can also be produced endogenously.

2.2 Use or Attempted Use by a Player of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method, unless the Player establishes that the Use or Attempted Use is consistent with a TUE granted in accordance with Article 4.

- 2.2.1 It is each Player's personal duty to ensure that no Prohibited Substance enters his or her body and that he does not Use any Prohibited Method. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, fault, negligence or knowing Use on the Player's part be demonstrated in order to establish an Anti-Doping Rule Violation of Use under Article 2.2; nor is the Player's lack of intent, fault, negligence or knowledge a valid defence to a charge that an Anti-Doping Rule Violation of Use has been committed under Article 2.2.
- 2.2.2 It is necessary to demonstrate intent on the Player's part to establish an Anti-Doping Rule Violation of Attempted Use under Article 2.2.



2.2.3 The success or failure of the Use or Attempted Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method is not material. For an Anti-Doping Rule Violation to be committed, it is sufficient that the Player Used or Attempted to Use a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method.

2.2.4 Out-Of-Competition Use of a substance that is only prohibited In-Competition is not an Anti-Doping Rule Violation. If, however, an Adverse Analytical Finding is reported for such substance or any of its Metabolites or Markers in respect of a Sample collected In-Competition, that may amount to an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.1.

2.3 Refusing or failing without compelling justification to submit to Sample collection after notification of Testing as authorised in these Rules or under the Code, or otherwise evading Sample collection.

2.4 Whereabouts.

2.4.1 Any failure to file whereabouts information in accordance with IST Article 11.3 shall be deemed a **“Filing Failure”**. Any failure to be available for Testing at the declared whereabouts in accordance with IST Article 11.4 shall be deemed a **“Missed Test”**.

2.4.2 Any combination of three Filing Failures and/or Missed Tests committed within an eighteen-month period, as declared by the RMA or any other Anti-Doping Organisation with the requisite jurisdiction under the IST, shall constitute an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.4.

2.5 Tampering or Attempted Tampering with any part of Doping Control.

2.6 Possession of Prohibited Substances and/or Prohibited Methods.

2.6.1 Possession by a Player at any time or place of a Prohibited Method, or of a substance that is prohibited in Out-of-Competition Testing, is an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.6 unless the Player establishes that the Possession is consistent with a TUE granted in accordance with Article 4 or other acceptable justification.

2.6.2 Possession by a Player In-Competition of any Prohibited Substance that is only prohibited In-Competition is an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.6, unless the Player establishes that the Possession is consistent with a TUE granted in accordance with Article 4 or other acceptable justification.

2.6.3 Possession by Player Support Personnel at any time or place of a Prohibited Method, or of a substance that is prohibited in Out-of-Competition Testing, in connection with a Player, Event or training, is an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.6, unless the Player Support Personnel establishes that the Possession is consistent with a TUE granted to a Player in accordance with Article 4 or other acceptable justification.

2.6.4 Possession by Player Support Personnel In-Competition of any Prohibited Substance that is only prohibited In-Competition, in connection with a Player, an Event or training, is an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.6, unless the Player Support Personnel establishes that the Possession is consistent with a TUE granted to a Player in accordance with Article 4 or other acceptable justification.

2.7 Trafficking or Attempted Trafficking in any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method.

2.8 Administration or Attempted administration to a Player at any time or place of a Prohibited Method, or of a substance that is prohibited in Out of-Competition Testing, or administration or Attempted administration to a Player In-Competition of any Prohibited Substance that is only



prohibited In-Competition, unless the Player establishes that the administration or Attempted administration was consistent with a TUE granted in accordance with Article 4; or assisting, encouraging, aiding, abetting, covering up or any other type of complicity involving an Anti-Doping Rule Violation or any Attempted Anti-Doping Rule Violation.

Article 3: The Prohibited List

3.1 Incorporation of the Prohibited List

- 3.1.1 These Rules adopt and incorporate the Prohibited List and the International Standard for the Prohibited List, as amended from time to time.
- 3.1.2 A copy of the Prohibited List in force as of the Effective Date can be found at **Appendix Two**. The Prohibited List may be amended by WADA from time to time in accordance with Code Article 4.1. Unless provided otherwise by WADA, such amendments shall come into effect automatically under these Rules three (3) months after publication of the amendments by WADA on its website, without requiring any further action by The Golf Union of Wales Ltd. or the NADO.
- 3.1.3 All Participants shall be deemed to accept the Prohibited List and the International Standard for the Prohibited List, and any amendments thereto, without further formality. It is the responsibility of all Participants to familiarise themselves with the most up-to-date version of the Prohibited List and related Standard and all amendments thereto.

3.2 Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods Identified on the Prohibited List

- 3.2.1 The Prohibited List identifies those Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods which are prohibited at all times (i.e., both In-Competition and Out-of-Competition) and those additional substances and methods which are prohibited In-Competition only.
- 3.2.2 Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods may be included in the Prohibited List by general category (e.g. anabolic agents) or by specific reference to a particular substance or method or sport.

3.3 Specified Substances

- 3.3.1 For purposes of these Rules, all Prohibited Substances shall be deemed “Specified Substances” except (a) substances in the class of anabolic agents or hormones; and (b) those stimulants and hormone antagonists and modulators so identified on the Prohibited List. Prohibited Methods shall not be Specified Substances.
- 3.3.2 In the event that WADA expands the Prohibited List by adding a new class of Prohibited Substances, WADA’s Executive Committee shall determine whether any or all of the Prohibited Substances within the new class of Prohibited Substances shall be considered Specified Substances within the meaning of Article 3.3.1.

3.4 No Right of Challenge

The following shall be final and shall not be subject to challenge by any Participant on any grounds:

- 3.4.1 WADA’s determination of the Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods that will be included on the Prohibited List; and



- 3.4.2 WADA's classification of substances into categories on the Prohibited List (e.g., as prohibited at all times, or only In-Competition; or as a Specified Substance, or a non-Specified Substance).

Article 4: Therapeutic Use Exemptions

4.1 Incorporation of the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions

- 4.1.1 The Code permits Players to apply for permission to Use, for therapeutic purposes, substances or methods on the Prohibited List whose Use would otherwise be prohibited.
- 4.1.2 The International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions sets out the circumstances in which Players may claim such a therapeutic use exemption (or "TUE"). A copy of the version of the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions in force as of the Effective Date may be found at **Appendix Three**. These Rules adopt and incorporate that standard, as amended from time to time. All Participants shall be deemed to accept the standard and any amendments thereto as binding upon them without further formality.

4.2 Scope and Effect of TUEs

- 4.2.1 In order to excuse the presence or Use or Possession or administration of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method that would otherwise amount to an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2, such presence, Use, Possession or administration must be consistent with the provisions of a TUE validly granted to the Player in question.
- 4.2.2 In accordance with Code Article 15.4, any TUE granted to a Player by or on behalf of a Signatory (including any TUE granted prior to the Effective Date) will be recognised under these Rules in accordance with the terms of the grant, provided that the grant is consistent with the Code and is within that Signatory's authority. Otherwise, however, a Player required by Article 4.2.4 or Article 4.2.5 to obtain a TUE must obtain one from the UK TUE Committee in accordance with Article 4.3.
- 4.2.3 Athletes should note that a TUE granted by the UK TUE Committee may not be recognised by the International Golf Federation for purposes of Testing under the International Golf Federation's antidoping rules. It is the personal responsibility of any Player who is participating in an International Event or otherwise subject to the International Golf Federation's anti-doping rules to ascertain whether he needs to apply to the International Golf Federation for a TUE in accordance with its rules, notwithstanding that he has been granted a TUE under these Rules.
- 4.2.4 Subject only to Article 4.2.2 (which provides that a TUE granted by another Signatory may be recognised under these Rules) and Article 4.7 of the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions (which identifies limited circumstances in which a TUE may be granted retrospectively):
- a. a Player who is in the National Registered Testing Pool must obtain a TUE in accordance with Article 4.3 prior to Use or Possession or administration of the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method in question; and
 - b. the NADO may also establish a further pool of Players not in the National Registered Testing Pool (the "**Domestic Pool**") who are required to obtain a TUE in accordance with Article 4.3 prior to Use or Possession or administration of the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method in question.
- 4.2.5 If a Player who is not in the National Registered Testing Pool or the Domestic Pool is tested pursuant to these Rules, and that Player has been Using a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method for which he is entitled to a TUE, then he may make a retrospective application for a TUE to the UK TUE Committee no later than ten (10) working days after the test is completed; provided that:



- a. the NADO may extend this deadline upon request by that Player for good cause shown; and
 - b. any such TUE application shall be resolved before any Adverse Analytical Finding or Atypical Finding relating to that Player's Sample is referred to the Review Board under Article 7.2 or 7.3.
- 4.2.6 Subject only to Article 4.2.3, a Player may not apply to more than one Anti-Doping Organisation for a TUE. A Player who applies for a TUE pursuant to the rules of The Golf Union of Wales Ltd or another Anti-Doping Organisation shall report the grant or denial of the application immediately to the NADO, by sending it copies of the application and the decision.
- 4.2.7 The submission of false or misleadingly incomplete information in support of a TUE application (including but not limited to the failure to advise of the unsuccessful outcome of a prior application to another Anti-Doping Organisation for such a TUE) may result in a charge of Tampering or Attempted Tampering under Article 2.5.

4.3 Grant of a TUE

- 4.3.1 A Player requiring a TUE must apply to the UK TUE Committee in accordance with the TUE application process set out in the UK Anti-Doping Procedures Guide.
- 4.3.2 The UK TUE Committee will determine the TUE application in strict accordance with the criteria set out in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions.
- 4.3.3 The UK TUE Committee will notify the Player, The Golf Union of Wales Ltd, the International Golf Federation and the NADO in writing of the grant or denial of the Player's application for a TUE. Where the Player is in the National Registered Testing Pool, a copy of the decision will also be sent to WADA. A TUE will be effective as of the date it is granted and will have a specified duration as decided on a case by case basis by the UK TUE Committee. It may also be granted subject to such conditions or restrictions as the UK TUE Committee sees fit.
- 4.3.4 A Player may not assume that his or her application for a TUE (or for renewal of a TUE) will be granted. Any Use or Possession or administration of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method before an application has been granted shall be entirely at the Player's own risk.

4.4 Expiration or Cancellation of a TUE

- 4.4.1 A TUE granted pursuant to these Rules:
- a. shall expire automatically at the end of any term for which it was granted, without the need for any further notice or other formality;
 - b. may be cancelled by the UK TUE Committee if the Player does not promptly comply with any requirements or conditions imposed by the UK TUE Committee upon grant of the TUE; or
 - c. may be withdrawn by the UK TUE Committee if it is subsequently determined that the criteria for grant of a TUE are not in fact met.
- 4.4.2 A Player who is in a National Registered Testing Pool or Domestic Pool who wishes to continue to Use the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method in question after the term for which the TUE has been granted must apply prior to the end of the term for renewal of the TUE in accordance with Article 4.3.
- 4.4.3 Cancellation of a TUE pursuant to Article 4.4.1(b) or withdrawal of a TUE pursuant to Article 4.4.1(c) shall be made in writing and notified by the UK TUE Committee to the Player in accordance with Article 17.2, with



copies to The Golf Union of Wales Ltd, the International Golf Federation, and the NADO. Such notice shall take effect upon receipt, in accordance with Article 17.2.

- 4.4.4 In the event of an expiration, cancellation or withdrawal of the TUE pursuant to Article 4.4.1, the Player shall not be subject to any Consequences based on his or her Use or Possession or administration of the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method in question in accordance with the TUE at any time prior to the effective date of expiry, cancellation or withdrawal of the TUE. The review pursuant to Article 7.2.1 of any subsequent Adverse Analytical Finding shall include consideration of whether such finding is consistent with Use of the Prohibited Substance or Method prior to that date, in which event there shall be no case to answer.

4.5 Review of Decision Granting or Denying TUE Application or Revoking or Withdrawing TUE

4.5.1 In accordance with Code Article 4.4:

- a. at the request of a Player whose application for a TUE has been denied by the UK TUE Committee, WADA may reverse such denial if it determines that such denial did not comply with the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, subject to the right of the NADO to appeal to CAS against WADA's decision, in accordance with Article 13.2.4; and
- b. on its own initiative, WADA may reverse any grant of a TUE if it determines that such grant did not comply with the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, subject to the right of the Player and/or the NADO to appeal against WADA's decision, in accordance with Article 13.2.4.

4.5.2 Other than as set out in Article 4.5.1, decisions of the UK TUE Committee and the UK TUE Appeal Panel may be challenged only by appeal in accordance with Article 13.2.

4.5.3 Until such time as the grant or denial of a TUE application made pursuant to these Rules has been reversed pursuant to Article 4.5.1 or Article 4.5.2, such grant or denial shall remain in full force and effect.

Article 5: Testing

5.1 Incorporation of the International Standard for Testing

These Rules adopt and incorporate the International Standard for Testing, as amended from time to time. A copy of the version of the International Standard for Testing in force as of 1 January 2009 may be found at **Appendix Four**. All Participants shall be deemed to accept that standard and any amendments thereto as binding upon them without further formality.

5.2 Testing Jurisdiction

5.2.1 All Players (including but not limited to Players in the National Registered Testing Pool) must make themselves available for and must submit to Testing (urine and/or blood) pursuant to these Rules at any place and time (whether In-Competition or Out-of-Competition, whether in the UK or overseas).

5.2.2 Testing pursuant to these Rules shall be carried out in accordance with the International Standard for Testing in force at the time of Testing. Target Testing will be made a priority. Save in exceptional circumstances, all Out-of-Competition Testing will be conducted on a No Advance Notice basis.

5.2.3 Other Anti-Doping Organisations may also have jurisdiction to test Players who are subject to these Rules, in accordance with Code Article 15. The Golf Union of Wales Ltd and the NADO shall recognise such Testing in accordance with Code Article 15.4 (Mutual Recognition) and the RMA may bring proceedings against a Player pursuant to these Rules for an Anti-Doping Rule Violation arising in relation to such Testing.



5.3 In-Competition Testing

- 5.3.1 At National Events, the NADO shall determine the number of Players to be selected for Testing in each Competition and the procedures for selecting the Players for Testing.
- 5.3.2 At International Events held in the United Kingdom, the collection of Samples shall be initiated and directed by the organiser of the Event, subject always to the right of the NADO to initiate and conduct such Testing in accordance with Code Article 15.1.1.

5.4 Out-of-Competition Testing

- 5.4.1 In addition to the general obligation on all Players (as identified in Article 5.2.1 of these Rules) to submit to Testing, including Out-of-Competition Testing, at any time and place, in accordance with IST Article 11.2 the NADO shall establish a pool of Players (the "National Registered Testing Pool") who are required to provide whereabouts information in accordance with IST Article 11.3 and to make themselves available for Testing at such whereabouts in accordance with IST Article 11.4. Unless otherwise specified by the NADO, Players in the National Registered Testing Pool shall use ADAMS to file their whereabouts information.
- 5.4.2 Subject to the results management provisions set out at IST Article 11.6 and at Article 5.4.4 of these Rules:
- a. the failure of a Player in the National Registered Testing Pool to provide whereabouts information in accordance with IST Article 11.3 shall be deemed a Filing Failure for purposes of Article 2.4 where the conditions of IST Article 11.3.5 are met; and
 - b. the failure of a Player in the National Registered Testing Pool to be available for Testing at such whereabouts in accordance with IST Article 11.4 shall be deemed a Missed Test for purposes of Article 2.4 where the conditions of IST Article 11.4.3 are met.
- 5.4.3 A Player will be notified in writing of his or her inclusion in the National Registered Testing Pool. A Player may be included in the National Registered Testing Pool notwithstanding that he is also included in an International Registered Testing Pool. In that case, the NADO and the International Golf Federation will agree on which of them receives the Player's whereabouts filings and shares it with the other and with other Anti-Doping Organisations with jurisdiction to test that Player in accordance with IST Article 11.1.7(d) (and in the absence of agreement then WADA shall decide which of them shall take that responsibility). In any event, the Player will only be required to file whereabouts information with either the NADO or the International Golf Federation.
- 5.4.4 Results management in relation to an Article 2.4 Anti-Doping Rule Violation:
- a. Unless the NADO agrees or WADA provides that the International Golf Federation shall take such responsibility, results management in respect of an apparent Filing Failure by a Player in the National Registered Testing Pool shall be conducted by the RMA in accordance with IST Article 11.6.2.
 - b. Results management in respect of an unsuccessful attempt by or on behalf of the NADO to test a Player in the National Registered Testing Pool shall be conducted by the RMA in accordance with IST Article 11.6.3.
 - c. Results management in respect of an unsuccessful attempt by or on behalf of any other Anti-Doping Organisation to test a Player in the National Registered Testing Pool shall be conducted by that Anti-Doping Organisation in accordance with IST Article 11.6.3.
 - d. Where, in any eighteen-month period, a Player in the National Registered Testing Pool is declared to have three Filing Failures, or three Missed Tests, or any combination of Filing Failures and Missed



Tests adding up to three in total, whether under these Rules or (in accordance with IST Article 11.1.5) under the rules of any other relevant Anti-Doping Organisation, then (save only where IST Article 11.6.5(a) provides otherwise) the RMA shall be responsible for reviewing the matter to determine, in accordance with IST Article 11.6.5, whether the Player has a case to answer under Article 2.4.

- 5.4.5 A Player who is in the National Registered Testing Pool shall continue to be subject to the requirements of IST Article 11 unless and until:
- a. he retires in accordance with Article 1.4.1; or
 - b. the NADO has informed him in writing that he no longer satisfies the criteria for inclusion in the National Registered Testing Pool.

5.5 Selection of Players for Testing

- 5.5.1 The NADO will select Players for Testing using Target Testing, Weighted and random selection methods, in accordance with the International Standard for Testing in force at the time of selection.
- 5.5.2 In order to preserve the ability to conduct No Advance Notice Testing, those who become aware of the selection of a Player for Testing shall only disclose such information on a strictly need-to know basis. Any failure to comply with this requirement may result in a charge of Tampering or Attempted Tampering under Article 2.5.

5.6 Testing of Minors

- 5.6.1 Testing of a Player who is a Minor shall be conducted in accordance with IST Annex C (Modifications for Athletes who are Minors).
- 5.6.2 A Player who is a Minor may not represent Wales or participate in any of the National Scratch Competitions set out at Article 1.2.1(a) unless a parent or guardian of that Minor has consented to Testing of the Minor in accordance with Article
- 5.6.1. For purposes of these Rules, such consent shall be deemed from the fact that the Minor has been permitted by his or her parent or guardian to participate in the sport at this level. Confirmation in writing of such consent may be required to be provided at any time. Where the Minor is included in the National Registered Pool or the Domestic Pool, such consent must be confirmed upon notification of inclusion in the pool as a precondition to further participation in the sport. In addition, the rules of a particular Event may require the provision of written consent pursuant to Article 5.6.1 as a pre-condition of participation by any Minor in the Event.

5.7 Liability for Testing

Although every reasonable effort will be made to avoid inconvenience to the Player being tested, no liability shall arise on the part of The Golf Union of Wales Ltd or the NADO or any of their respective members, directors, officers, employees, agents or representatives for any inconvenience or loss arising on the part of the Player as a result of such Testing.



Article 6: Analysis of Samples

6.1 Incorporation of the International Standard for Laboratories

These Rules adopt and incorporate the International Standard for Laboratories, as amended from time to time. A copy of the International Standard for Laboratories in force as of the Effective Date may be found at Appendix Five. All Participants shall be deemed to accept the International Standard for Laboratories and any amendments thereto as binding upon them without further formality.

6.2 Use of Approved Laboratories

- 6.2.1 For purposes of detecting the presence of a Prohibited Substance or any of its Metabolites or Markers, or to screen a blood Sample to determine whether the Player's corresponding urine Sample should be analysed, the NADO shall send Samples collected under these Rules for analysis only to a WADA-accredited laboratory selected by the NADO, or as otherwise approved by WADA.
- 6.2.2 Laboratories shall analyse Samples collected under these Rules, and shall report the results of such analysis, in compliance with the International Standard for Laboratories in force at the time of analysis.
- 6.2.3 Save in the circumstances set out at Article 7.6.6, the NADO shall be responsible for the costs of analysis of Samples under these Rules.

6.3 Substances Subject to Detection

- 6.3.1 Samples shall be analysed:
- a. to detect Prohibited Substances (and their Metabolites or Markers) and Prohibited Methods and other substances as may be directed by WADA pursuant to the Monitoring Programme described in Code Article 4.5; and/or
 - b. to assist the NADO in profiling relevant parameters in a Player's urine, blood or other matrix, including DNA profiling, for anti-doping purposes.
- 6.3.2 A Sample collected under these Rules may be re-analysed for the purposes set out in Article 6.3.1 at any time exclusively at the direction of the NADO or WADA. The circumstances and conditions for reanalysing Samples shall conform with the requirements of the International Standard for Laboratories.

6.4 Research on Samples

- 6.4.1 As between the Player and the RMA, Samples provided by a Player under these Rules shall be the property of the RMA, and the RMA shall be entitled (subject to Article 6.4.2) to determine all matters regarding the analysis and disposal of such Samples.
- 6.4.2 No Sample may be used for any purpose other than as described in Article 6.3 without the Player's written consent. A Sample used (with the Player's consent) for purposes other than as described in Article 6.3 shall have the identity code removed or shall be transferred into an anonymous container so that it cannot be traced back to the Player.



6.5 Reporting by Laboratories

- 6.5.1 The laboratory shall report the results of the analysis of a Sample collected under these Rules in accordance with the International Standard for Laboratories.
- 6.5.2 Any Adverse Analytical Finding reported by the laboratory shall be dealt with in accordance with Article 7.2.
- 6.5.3 Any Atypical Finding reported by the laboratory shall be dealt with in accordance with Article 7.3.

Article 7: Results Management

7.1 Responsibility for Results Management

- 7.1.1 Results management and the investigation of potential Anti-Doping Rule Violations shall proceed pursuant to these Rules where the conduct in question:
 - a. was identified by Testing conducted pursuant to these Rules or otherwise arose in relation to these Rules; or
 - b. was identified by Testing conducted pursuant to other applicable rules (e.g. at an International Event) or otherwise arose in relation to those other rules, and the Anti-Doping Organisation that issued such rules requests or it is otherwise appropriate in all of the circumstances for the RMA to take jurisdiction over the matter.
- 7.1.2 Where responsibility for results management arises under these Rules, it shall be undertaken by the Results Management Authority (RMA).

7.2 Initial Review of Adverse Analytical Findings

- 7.2.1 Upon receipt of an Adverse Analytical Finding in relation to an A Sample, the RMA shall refer the matter to the Review Board, which shall conduct a review of any TUE granted to the Player as well as of the documentation relating to the Doping Control and the A Sample analysis, and any other relevant documentation, to determine whether:
 - a. the presence of the Prohibited Substance or its Metabolite or Marker in the Player's Sample is consistent with a valid and applicable TUE held by the Player; or
 - b. there has been any apparent departure from the International Standard for Testing or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Adverse Analytical Finding.
- 7.2.2 If it is determined pursuant to Article 7.2.1 either that the Adverse Analytical Finding is consistent with a valid and applicable TUE held by the Player, or that there has been an apparent departure from either the International Standard for Testing or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Adverse Analytical Finding, then the RMA shall advise the Player and each Interested Party of that fact. The RMA shall take no further action in relation to such Adverse Analytical Finding; provided, however, that the Player may subsequently be made the subject of Target Testing.
- 7.2.3 If it is determined pursuant to Article 7.2.1 that there is neither a valid and applicable TUE with which the Adverse Analytical Finding is consistent, nor a departure from either the International Standard for Testing or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Adverse Analytical Finding, then there shall be deemed to be a case to answer under Article 2 and the RMA shall send the Player a Notice of Charge in accordance with Article 7.5.



7.3 Review of Atypical Findings

- 7.3.1 As provided in the Prohibited List and/or in the International Standard for Laboratories, where a Prohibited Substance or its Marker or Metabolite that may also be produced endogenously is found to be present in an A Sample, in certain circumstances laboratories are directed to report such presence as an Atypical Finding that should be investigated further. In that case, the RMA will refer the matter to the Review Board, which will conduct a review to determine whether:
- the presence of the Prohibited Substance or its Marker or Metabolite in the Player's Sample is consistent with a valid and applicable TUE held by the Player; or
 - there has been any apparent departure from the International Standard for Testing or from the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Atypical Finding.
- 7.3.2 If it is determined pursuant to Article 7.3.1 either that the Atypical Finding is consistent with a valid and applicable TUE held by the Player, or that there has been an apparent departure from either the International Standard for Testing or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Atypical Finding, then the RMA shall advise the Player and each Interested Party of that fact. The RMA shall take no further action in relation to such Atypical Finding; provided, however, that the Player may subsequently be made the subject of Target Testing.
- 7.3.3 If it is determined pursuant to Article 7.3.1 that there is neither a valid and applicable TUE with which the Analytical Finding is consistent, nor a departure from either the International Standard for Testing or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Atypical Finding, then the RMA shall conduct the follow-up investigation required by the International Standards.
- 7.3.4 The results of the investigation shall be referred to the Review Board. If the Review Board concludes that the Atypical Finding should be considered an Adverse Analytical Finding, such that there is a case to answer under Article 2, the RMA shall send the Player a Notice of Charge in accordance with Article 7.5.
- 7.3.5 Pending the outcome of the investigation, the Atypical Finding shall be kept confidential, save that:
- if it determines that the B Sample should be analysed as part of the investigation, the RMA shall notify the Player in accordance with Article 7.5.1(e); and
 - if requested by an International Federation or Major Event Organisation or a sports organisation that is about to select Players to participate in an International Event, the RMA may confirm that the Player has a pending Atypical Finding, after informing the Player.
- 7.3.6 If the RMA decides not to pursue the Atypical Finding as an Adverse Analytical Finding, it shall notify the Player and each Interested Party of that fact. Any Interested Party may either appeal that decision or may elect to treat the Atypical Finding as an Adverse Analytical Finding and initiate proceedings under its own rules.

7.4 Review of Evidence Other Than Adverse Analytical Findings and Atypical Findings

- 7.4.1 Where a matter arises that involves evidence of a potential Anti-Doping Rule Violation other than an Adverse Analytical Finding or an Atypical Finding, then the RMA shall conduct any further investigation required in order to determine whether there is a case to answer under Article 2, including the seeking of any additional information. This may include, where the RMA considers it appropriate to do so, giving the Participant(s) implicated in the potential Anti-Doping Rule Violation an opportunity, subject to compliance with a strict timetable, to make such submissions as he/she may wish. If the RMA decides to invite such submissions, a formal



hearing is not required to be held. Instead, the RMA shall determine how the submissions should be made, such as (for example) in writing, or by telephone conference.

- 7.4.2 Failure by any Participant to cooperate in full with an investigation undertaken in accordance with Article 7.3.1 may result in a charge of misconduct under The Golf Union of Wales Ltd's disciplinary rules.
- 7.4.3 Where, following the conclusion of any investigation and assessment of the evidence, the RMA concludes that there is no case to answer under Article 2, the RMA shall advise the Participant and each Interested Party of that fact. The RMA shall take no further action against the Participant in respect of such evidence; provided, however, that the Participant (if a Player) may subsequently be made the subject of Target Testing.
- 7.4.4 Where the RMA considers that there is a case to answer under Article 2, it shall refer the matter to the Review Board. If the Review Board concludes that there is no case to answer under Article 2, then the provisions of Article 7.4.3 shall apply. If the Review Board concludes that there is a case to answer under Article 2, the RMA shall send the person a Notice of Charge in accordance with Article 7.5.

7.5 Notice of Charge

- 7.5.1 Where it is determined, pursuant to Article 7.2.3, Article 7.3.4 or Article 7.4.4, that a Participant has a case to answer under Article 2, then the RMA shall as soon as practicable notify the Participant in writing (the "Notice of Charge") of:
- a. the Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s) that the Participant is charged with committing;
 - b. a summary of the facts and evidence relied upon by the RMA in support of such charge. Where the charge is based upon an Adverse Analytical Finding, a copy of the laboratory documentation package supporting that Adverse Analytical Finding shall be enclosed with the Notice of Charge;
 - c. (where applicable) notice of the Provisional Suspension to be imposed on the Participant pursuant to Article 7.7.1 or Article 7.7.2, along with an explanation of the Participant's Article 7.7.3 rights in relation to such Provisional Suspension;
 - d. the Consequences applicable under these Rules if it is established that the Participant has committed the Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s) charged (including identifying any discretion that may exist in relation to such Consequences under these Rules);
 - e. where the charge is based on an Adverse Analytical Finding, the right of the Player and/or the Player's representative to attend on a specified date (usually within seven working days of the Player's receipt of the Notice of Charge) and at a specified time and place, for purposes of analysis of the B Sample, in accordance with Article 7.6;
 - f. the right of the Participant to respond to the Notice of Charge in one of the following ways:
 - i. to admit the Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s) charged, and accede to the Consequences specified in the Notice of Charge;
 - ii. to admit the Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s) charged, but to dispute and/or seek to mitigate the Consequences specified in the Notice of Charge, and to have the Consequences determined at a hearing conducted in accordance with Article 8; or
 - iii. to deny the charge, and to have the charge and (if the charge is upheld) any Consequences determined at a hearing conducted in accordance with Article 8; provided that if the Participant wishes to exercise his/her right to a hearing, he/she must submit a written



request for such a hearing so that it is received by the RMA as soon as possible, but in any event within ten (10) days of the Participant's receipt of the Notice of Charge. The request must also state how the Participant responds to the charge in the Notice and must explain (in summary form) the basis for such response. In the event no such response is received by that deadline, the Participant will be deemed to have admitted the Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s) charged, and to have acceded to the Consequences specified in the Notice of Charge.

- 7.5.2 The RMA shall send copies of the Notice of Charge to each Interested Party.
- 7.5.3 In the Notice of Charge, and/or at any other time prior to the determination of the charge at a hearing, the RMA may invite the Participant to admit the Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s) charged and accede to specified Consequences.
- 7.5.4 In the event that the Participant admits the Anti-Doping Rule violation(s) charged and accedes to the Consequences specified by the RMA (or is deemed to have done so in accordance with the last sentence of Article 7.5.1), neither B Sample analysis nor a hearing is required. Instead, the RMA shall promptly issue a decision confirming the commission of the Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s) and the imposition of the specified Consequences, shall send notice of the decision to the Participant and to each Interested Party, and shall publish the decision in accordance with Article 14.

7.6 B Sample Analysis

- 7.6.1 If the Player admits the Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s) charged, he or she shall be deemed (a) to have waived his or her right to have the B Sample analysed; and (b) to have accepted the Adverse Analytical Finding based on the A Sample analysis alone.
- 7.6.2 Otherwise the B Sample shall be analysed on the date and at the time and place specified in the Notice of Charge, and the Player and/or his or her representative shall have a right to attend on that date at the Player's cost to witness the opening and analysis of the B Sample, as shall representatives of the NADO, The Golf Union of Wales Ltd, and the International Golf Federation (at their own cost). There shall be no right to an adjournment of the date. If the Player or his or her representative is unable to attend on the date specified, then the laboratory shall arrange for an independent witness to attend the B Sample analysis to verify, in accordance with the International Standard for Laboratories, that the B Sample container shows no signs of tampering and that the identifying numbers correspond to those on the Sample collection documentation.
- 7.6.3 If the Player waives his or her right to analysis of the B Sample, the RMA may proceed with such analysis in any event, in which case an independent witness shall attend the analysis for the purpose set out in Article 7.6.2.
- 7.6.4 If the analysis of the B Sample does not confirm the Adverse Analytical Finding in respect of the A Sample, then (unless the RMA charges the Player with Use under Article 2.2) the entire test shall be considered negative and the Player and each Interested Party will be so informed. In such circumstances, the Notice of Charge will be withdrawn, the proceedings instituted against the Player shall be discontinued, any Provisional Suspension previously imposed on the Player pursuant to Article 7.7 shall be deemed automatically vacated with immediate effect, and no further disciplinary action shall be taken against the Player by the RMA in relation to the original Adverse Analytical Finding; provided, however, that he or she may subsequently be made the subject of Target Testing. In addition, where the Player or the Player's team has been removed from a Competition as a result of the Adverse Analytical Finding, if it is still possible (without otherwise affecting the Competition) for the Player or team to be reinstated, the Player or team may be reinstated and continue to take part in the Competition.



- 7.6.5 If the B Sample analysis confirms the Adverse Analytical Finding in respect of the A Sample, then the RMA shall provide the B Sample laboratory documentation package to the Player, and the matter shall proceed to a hearing as set out in Article 8. Any doubt as to whether the B Sample analysis confirms the Adverse Analytical Finding in respect of the A Sample shall be resolved by the Review Board.
- 7.6.6 In the circumstances set out in Article 7.6.3, the RMA shall be responsible for the costs of the B Sample analysis. In the circumstances set out in Article 7.6.4, the RMA may require the Player to pay the costs of the B Sample analysis.

7.7 Provisional Suspension

- 7.7.1 Mandatory Provisional Suspension in cases of Adverse Analytical Findings for Prohibited Substances other than Specified Substances:

Where analysis of a Player's A Sample results in an Adverse Analytical Finding for a Prohibited Substance that is not a Specified Substance, and the Review Board has concluded in accordance with Article 7.2 that the Player has a case to answer under Article 2, then (subject only to Article 7.7.3) a Provisional Suspension will come into effect automatically on the date specified by the RMA in the Notice of Charge.

- 7.7.2 Discretionary Provisional Suspension in other cases:

- a. In all other cases where it is determined pursuant to Article 7.2.3, Article 7.3.4 or Article 7.4.3 that a Participant has a case to answer under Article 2, unless the RMA decides to disapply this Article 7.7.2 then (subject only to Article 7.7.3) a Provisional Suspension will come into effect automatically on the date specified by the RMA in the Notice of Charge.
- b. If the RMA disapplies Article 7.7.2(a) so that no date is specified in the Notice of Charge for a Provisional Suspension to come into effect, no Provisional Suspension will come into effect prior to determination of the charge unless so ordered by the NADP on application by the RMA in accordance with Article 6 of the NADP Rules, which application must be based on evidence that was not available to the RMA at the time the Notice of Charge was sent.

- 7.7.3 A Participant's right to challenge the imposition of a Provisional Suspension:

A Participant who receives notice of an automatic Provisional Suspension pursuant to Article 7.7.1 or Article 7.7.2(a) has the right to apply to the NADP, either immediately (i.e., before the Provisional Suspension comes into force) or at any time prior to the full hearing, showing cause why the Provisional Suspension should not be imposed (or, where it has been imposed, why it should be lifted). The application shall be governed by and heard in accordance with Article 6 of the NADP Rules; Provided That:

- a. If the Participant applies for an order that the Provisional Suspension not be imposed before the Provisional Suspension comes into effect under Article 7.7.1 or 7.7.2(a), then the Provisional Suspension shall not come into effect pending the decision on the application.
- b. If the Participant does not make an application before the Provisional Suspension comes into effect under Article 7.7.1 or 7.7.2(a), but makes an application after that date for the Provisional Suspension to be lifted, the Provisional Suspension shall remain in place pending the decision on the application.
- c. The Provisional Suspension shall be imposed (or shall not be lifted) unless the Participant establishes that:
 - i. the charge(s) has/have no reasonable prospect of being upheld, e.g., because of a patent flaw in the case against the Participant; or



- iii. the Participant has a strong arguable case that he/she bears No Fault or Negligence for the Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s) charged, so that any period of Ineligibility that might otherwise be imposed for such a violation is likely to be completely eliminated by application of Article 10.5.1; or
- iii. some other facts exist that make it clearly unfair, in all of the circumstances, to impose a Provisional Suspension prior to a full hearing on the merits of the charge(s) against the Participant. This ground is to be construed narrowly, and applied only in truly exceptional circumstances. For example, the fact that the Provisional Suspension would prevent the Participant participating in a particular Competition or Event shall not qualify as exceptional circumstances for these purposes.

7.7.4 Appeals from Provisional Suspensions:

- a. If an application under Article 7.7.3 not to impose (or to lift) a Provisional Suspension is rejected, the Participant shall have the right to an immediate expedited appeal against that decision (i) to an NADP appeal tribunal, in accordance with Article 6.4 of the NADP Rules; or (ii) if the case arises from participation in an International Event or involves an International-Level Player, to CAS in accordance with Article 13.4. The Provisional Suspension shall remain in effect pending a decision on the merits of the appeal.
- b. If an application under Article 7.7.3 not to impose (or to lift) a Provisional Suspension is granted, that decision shall be final and binding on the parties (subject only to reconsideration in the light of any new evidence), and neither the RMA nor any other Person shall have a right to appeal against it.

7.7.5 No Provisional Suspension if B Sample analysis does not confirm A Sample analysis:

In accordance with Article 7.6.4, if the B Sample analysis does not confirm the Adverse Analytical Finding in respect of the A Sample, then no Provisional Suspension shall be imposed upon the Player. If a Provisional Suspension was imposed prior to receipt of the nonconfirmatory results of the B Sample analysis, it shall be deemed automatically vacated with immediate effect, without the need for any order from the NADP.

7.7.6 Effect of Provisional Suspension:

A Participant who is subject to a Provisional Suspension may not, during the period of Provisional Suspension, participate in any capacity (or, in the case of Player Support Personnel, assist a Player who is participating in any capacity) in any Competition, Event or other activity organised, convened, authorised or recognised by The Golf Union of Wales Ltd or by any body that is a member of, or affiliated to, or licensed by The Golf Union of Wales Ltd.

7.7.7 Notice of Provisional Suspension:

Any Provisional Suspension imposed under this Article 7.7 will be notified to all Interested Parties, but will otherwise remain confidential in accordance with Article 14, save only to the extent disclosure is required to ensure that the Provisional Suspension is recognised and enforced, including in accordance with Code Article 15.4.

7.7.8 Right to expedited hearing:

A Player who is subject to a Provisional Suspension has the right, if he or she so wishes, to an expedited hearing on the merits of the charge(s) against him pursuant to Article 8, to take place (save in exceptional circumstances) no later than fourteen (14) days after the date of imposition of the Provisional Suspension.



7.8 Statute of Limitations

Notwithstanding any other provision of these Rules, no charge may be brought under these Rules in respect of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation where eight (8) years or more have passed since the date that the Anti-Doping Rule Violation occurred.

Article 8: Disciplinary Proceedings

8.1 Jurisdiction of the NADP

The following matters arising under these Rules shall be submitted for determination by the National Anti-Doping Panel (NADP), in accordance with the NADP Rules, as amended from time to time:

- 8.1.1 A charge that one or more Anti-Doping Rule Violations has been committed: see Article 7.5. Where such charge is upheld, the NADP first instance tribunal will determine what Consequences (if any) should be imposed, in accordance with and pursuant to Articles 9 and 10.
- 8.1.2 An application that a Provisional Suspension not be imposed (or be lifted): see Article 7.7.3.
- 8.1.3 An appeal brought in accordance with Article 13.

8.2 Observers

Interested Parties who are not joined as a party to the proceedings before the NADP shall have the right (a) to be kept advised of the status and outcome (with reasons) of the proceedings; and (b) to attend all hearings as observers.

8.3 Rules of Evidence and Procedure

- 8.3.1 The RMA shall have the burden of establishing that the Participant charged has committed the Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s) specified in the Notice of Charge. To meet that burden, the RMA must establish the Participant's commission of the Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s) charged to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing panel, bearing in mind the seriousness of the allegations that are made. This standard of proof in all cases is greater than a mere balance of probability but less than proof beyond a reasonable doubt.
- 8.3.2 Where these Rules place the burden of proof upon the Person charged with the commission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation to rebut a presumption or establish specified facts or circumstances, then the applicable standard of proof shall be by a balance of probability, except where Articles 10.4 and/or 10.6 apply, in which case a higher standard of proof is specified.
- 8.3.3 The hearing panel shall have the power to decide on the admissibility, relevance and weight of any evidence (including the testimony of any fact or expert witness) and shall not be bound by any legal rules in relation to such matters. Facts may be established by any reliable means, including admissions.
- 8.3.4 WADA-accredited laboratories shall be presumed to have conducted Sample analysis and custodial procedures in accordance with the International Standard for Laboratories. The Participant charged with the commission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation may rebut this presumption by establishing that a departure from the International Standard for Laboratories occurred that could reasonably have caused the Adverse Analytical Finding (or the factual basis for any other Anti-Doping Rule Violation with which the Participant is charged). If he/she does so, then the RMA shall have the burden of establishing that such departure did not cause the Adverse Analytical Finding (or the factual basis for such other Anti-Doping Rule Violation).



- 8.3.5 Departures from any other International Standard or other anti-doping rule or policy that did not cause an Adverse Analytical Finding or the factual basis for any other Anti-Doping Rule Violation with which the Participant is charged shall not invalidate such evidence. If the Participant charged with committing the Anti-Doping Rule Violation establishes that a departure from another International Standard or other anti-doping rule or policy occurred that could reasonably have caused the Adverse Analytical Finding or the factual basis for any other Anti-Doping Rule Violation with which the Participant is charged, then the RMA shall have the burden of establishing that such departure did not cause the Adverse Analytical Finding or the factual basis for such other Anti-Doping Rule Violation.
- 8.3.6 Any other deviation from these Rules or the procedures referred to in these Rules shall not invalidate any finding, procedure, decision or result under the Rules unless the Participant relying on such deviation establishes that it casts material doubt on the reliability of that finding, procedure, decision or result, and the RMA is unable to rebut that showing.
- 8.3.7 The facts established by a decision of a court or professional disciplinary tribunal of competent jurisdiction that is not the subject of a pending appeal shall be irrebuttable evidence against the Participant to whom the decision pertained of those facts, unless the Participant establishes that the decision violated principles of natural justice.
- 8.3.8 The hearing panel may draw an inference that is adverse to a Participant charged with commission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation based on the Participant's refusal, after a request made in a reasonable time in advance of the hearing, to appear at the hearing either in person or by telephone, as directed by the hearing panel) and to answer questions put by the hearing panel or the RMA.

8.4 Publication of Decisions

- 8.4.1 Where the hearing panel determines that an Anti-Doping Rule Violation has been committed, the decision shall be disclosed publicly unless the Participant charged has a right to appeal against the decision, in which case the decision shall not be disclosed (a) until the deadline for appeal has passed and no appeal has been filed; or (b) if an appeal is filed, unless and until the decision that an Anti-Doping Rule Violation was committed is affirmed on appeal.
- 8.4.2 Where the hearing panel has determined that an Anti-Doping Rule Violation has not been committed, the decision shall not be disclosed publicly unless the Person charged consents to such disclosure. Where the Participant charged does not so consent, a summary of the decision may be published, provided that what is disclosed does not enable the public to identify the Participant charged.

Article 9: Disqualification of Individual Results

9.1 Disqualification of Competition Results as a Consequence of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation Committed in Connection with or Arising out of an In-Competition test

An Anti-Doping Rule Violation committed in connection with or arising out of an In-Competition test automatically leads to the Disqualification of any individual results obtained by the Player in the Competition in question, with all resulting consequences, including forfeiture of any medals, titles, points and prizes.

9.2 Impact of Disqualification on an Opponent's Results

There will be no adjustment of results, medals, titles, points, prizes or other consequences for the opponent of a Player (or the opponent of the team of a Player) subsequently found to have committed an Anti-Doping Rule Violation, irrespective of any Disqualification of results that may be ordered under these Rules, unless specific provision is made for such adjustment in the rules of the Event or Competition.



9.3 Application of Forfeited Prize Money

Any prize money forfeited under these Rules shall be applied by the RMA towards the costs of policing and enforcing these Rules.

Article 10: Ineligibility Sanctions for Individuals

10.1 Disqualification of Event Results as a Consequence of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation Committed During or In Connection with a Competition in the Event

10.1.1 Subject to Article 10.1.2, where a Player is found to have committed an Anti-Doping Rule Violation during or in connection with one Competition in an Event, then (in addition to the consequences set out at Article 9.1) the Anti-Doping Rule Violation automatically leads to the Disqualification of any individual results obtained by the Player in other Competitions in that Event, with all resulting consequences, including forfeiture of all medals, titles, points and prizes.

10.1.2 If the Player establishes that he or she bears No Fault or Negligence for the Anti-Doping Rule Violation in question, the Player's individual results in such other Competitions shall not be Disqualified unless the RMA establishes that the Player's results in those other Competitions were likely to have been affected by the Player's Anti-Doping Rule Violation.

10.2 Imposition of a Period of Ineligibility for the Presence, Use or Attempted Use, or Possession of Prohibited Substances and/or Prohibited Methods

For an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.1 (presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers), Article 2.2 (Use or Attempted Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method) or Article 2.6 (Possession of a Prohibited Substance and/or a Prohibited Method) that is the Participant's first violation, a period of Ineligibility of two years shall be imposed, unless the conditions for eliminating or reducing the period of Ineligibility (as specified in Article 10.4 and/or Article 10.5) or for increasing the period of Ineligibility (as specified in Article 10.6) are met.

10.3 Imposition of a Period of Ineligibility for Other Anti-Doping Rule Violations

10.3.1 For an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.3 (refusing or failing to submit to or otherwise evading Sample collection) or Article 2.5 (Tampering or Attempting to Tamper with Doping Control) that is the Participant's first violation, a period of Ineligibility of two years shall be imposed, unless the conditions for eliminating or reducing the period of Ineligibility (as specified in Article 10.5) or for increasing the period of Ineligibility (as specified in Article 10.6) are met.

10.3.2 For an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.4 (Filing Failures and/or Missed Tests) that is the Player's first violation, a period of Ineligibility of at a minimum one (1) year and at a maximum two (2) years shall be imposed, depending on the Player's degree of fault.

10.3.3 For an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.7 (Trafficking or Attempted Trafficking) or Article 2.8 (administration or Attempted administration of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method, etc) that is the Participant's first violation, a period of Ineligibility of at least four (4) years but up to a lifetime shall be imposed, unless the conditions for eliminating or reducing the period of Ineligibility set out in Article 10.5 are met; provided that:



- a. An Anti-Doping Rule Violation involving a Minor shall be considered a particularly serious offence, and, if committed by Player Support Personnel in relation to Anti-Doping Rule Violations other than those involving Specified Substances, shall result in lifetime Ineligibility for such Player Support Personnel.
- b. Significant Anti-Doping Rule Violations under Article 2.7 or Article 2.8 that may also violate non-sporting laws and regulations shall be reported to the competent administrative, professional or judicial authorities.

10.4 Elimination or Reduction of the Period of Ineligibility for Specified Substances under Specified Circumstances

- 10.4.1 Where the Participant can establish how a Specified Substance entered his/her body or came into his/her Possession and that such Specified Substance was not intended to enhance the Player's sport performance or mask the Use of a performance-enhancing substance, and it is the Participant's first violation, the period of Ineligibility established in Article 10.2 shall be replaced with, at a minimum, a reprimand and no period of Ineligibility, and at a maximum a period of Ineligibility of two (2) years.
- 10.4.2 To qualify for any elimination or reduction under this Article 10.4, the Participant must produce corroborating evidence in addition to his/her word that establishes, to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing panel, the absence of an intent to enhance the Player's sport performance or mask the Use of a performance-enhancing substance. The Participant's degree of fault shall be criterion considered in assessing any reduction of the period of ineligibility.

10.5 Elimination or Reduction of the Period of Ineligibility Based on Exceptional Circumstances

- 10.5.1 Elimination of period of Ineligibility based on No Fault or Negligence:

If a Participant establishes in an individual case that he/she bears No Fault or Negligence for the Anti-Doping Rule Violation charged, the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility shall be eliminated. When the Anti-Doping Rule Violation charged is an Article 2.1 violation (Presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Markers or Metabolites), the Player must also establish how the Prohibited Substance entered his/her system in order to have the period of Ineligibility eliminated. In the event this Article is applied and the period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable is eliminated, the Anti-Doping Rule Violation shall not be considered a violation for the limited purpose of determining the period of Ineligibility for multiple violations under Article 10.7.

- 10.5.2 Reduction of period of Ineligibility based on No Significant Fault or Negligence:

If a Participant establishes in an individual case that he or she bears No Significant Fault or Negligence for the Anti-Doping Rule Violation charged, then the period of Ineligibility may be reduced, but the reduced period of Ineligibility may not be less than one-half of the minimum period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable. If the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility is a lifetime, the reduced period under this Article may be no less than 8 years. When the Anti-Doping Rule Violation charged is an Article 2.1 violation (Presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers), the Player must also establish how the Prohibited Substance entered his/her system in order to have the period of Ineligibility reduced.

- 10.5.3 Suspension of period of Ineligibility based on Substantial Assistance in discovering or establishing other Anti-Doping Rule Violation(s):

- a. In any individual case where a period of Ineligibility has been imposed, the RMA may suspend a part of that period of Ineligibility where the Participant has provided Substantial Assistance to the RMA or other Anti-Doping Organisation, a criminal authority or a professional disciplinary body that results in



- (i) the RMA or other Anti-Doping Organisation discovering or establishing an Anti-Doping Rule Violation by another person; or (ii) the criminal authority or disciplinary body discovering or establishing a criminal offence or the breach of professional rules by another person. If the decision to suspend a part of the period of Ineligibility is made after a final appellate decision or the expiration of time to appeal, then the approval of WADA and the International Golf Federation is required for such suspension.
- b. The extent to which the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility may be suspended shall be based on the seriousness of the Anti-Doping Rule Violation committed by the Participant and the significance of the Substantial Assistance provided by the Participant to the effort to eliminate doping in sport. No more than three quarters (¾) of the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility may be suspended. If the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility is a lifetime, the non-suspended period under this Article must be no less than 8 years.
- c. If the RMA suspends any part of the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility under this Article, it shall promptly provide a written justification for its decision to each Interested Party.
- d. If the RMA subsequently reinstates any part of the suspended period of Ineligibility because the Participant has failed to provide the Substantial Assistance that was anticipated, the Participant may appeal the reinstatement pursuant to Article 13.
- 10.5.4 Reduction of period of Ineligibility based on admission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation in the absence of other evidence:

Where a Participant voluntarily admits the commission of a Anti-Doping Rule Violation before having received either (a) notification of a Sample collection that could establish the Anti-Doping Rule Violation (in the case of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.1), or (b) a Notice of Charge (in the case of any other Anti-Doping Rule Violation), and that admission is the only reliable evidence of the violation at the time of the admission, then the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility may be reduced, but not by more than one half (1/2).

- 10.5.5 Where a Participant establishes entitlement to reduction or suspension in sanction under more than one provision of this Article 10.5: Before applying any reduction or suspension under Articles 10.5.2, 10.5.3 or 10.5.4, the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility shall be determined in accordance with Article 10.2, 10.3, 10.4 or 10.6. If the Participant establishes entitlement to a reduction or suspension of the period of Ineligibility under two or more of Articles 10.5.2, 10.5.3 or 10.5.4, then the period of Ineligibility may be reduced or suspended, but not below one-quarter (1/4) of the period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable.

10.6 Aggravating Circumstances that may Increase the Period of Ineligibility

- 10.6.1 If the RMA establishes in an individual case involving a Anti-Doping Rule Violation other than under Article 2.7 (Trafficking or Attempted Trafficking) or Article 2.8 (administration or Attempted administration) that aggravating circumstances are present that justify the imposition of a period of Ineligibility greater than the standard period, then the period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable shall be increased up to a maximum of four years, unless the Participant can prove to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing panel that he/she did not knowingly commit the Anti-Doping Rule Violation.
- 10.6.2 A Participant can avoid the application of Article 10.6.1 by admitting his/her Anti-Doping Rule Violation promptly after being confronted with it by the RMA

10.7 Rules for Certain Potential Multiple Anti-Doping Rule Violations Offences

10.7.1 Second Anti-Doping Rule Violation:

For a Participant's first Anti-Doping Rule Violation, the period of Ineligibility is set out in Articles 10.2 and 10.3 (subject to elimination, reduction or suspension under Articles 10.4 or 10.5 or to an increase under Article 10.6). For a second Anti-Doping Rule Violation, the period of Ineligibility shall be within the range set out in the following table:

| First Offence \ Second Offence | RS | FFMT | NST | St | AS | TRA |
|--------------------------------|--------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|
| RS | 1-4 | 2-4 | 2-4 | 4-6 | 8-10 | 10-life |
| FFMT | 1.4 | 4-8 | 4-8 | 6-8 | 8-10 | 10-life |
| NSF | 1-4 | 4-8 | 4-8 | 6-8 | 10-life | life |
| St | 2-4 | 6-8 | 9-8 | 8-life | life | life |
| AS | 4-5 | 10-life | 10-life | life | life | life |
| TRA | 8-life | life | life | life | life | life |

The table is applied by locating the Participant's first Anti-Doping Rule Violation in the left-hand column and then moving across the table to the right to the column representing the second Anti-Doping Rule Violation. By way of example, assume a Participant receives the standard period of Ineligibility for a first Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 10.2 and then commits a second Anti-Doping Rule Violation for which he/she receives a reduced sanction under Article 10.4. The table is used to determine the period of Ineligibility for the second Anti-Doping Rule Violation. The table is applied to this example by starting in the left-hand column and going down to the fourth row (which is "St" for standard sanction), then moving across the table to the first column (which is "RS" for reduced sanction for a Specified Substance), thus resulting in a 2-4 year range for the period of Ineligibility for the second Anti-Doping Rule Violation. The Participant's degree of fault shall be the criterion used in assessing a period of Ineligibility within the applicable range.

The definitions for purposes of the second Anti-Doping Rule Violation table are as follows:

RS (Reduced sanction for Specified Substance under Article 10.4): The Anti-Doping Rule Violation was or should be sanctioned by a reduced sanction under Article 10.4 because it involved a Specified Substance and the other conditions under Article 10.4 were met.

FFMT (Filing Failures and/or Missed Tests): The Anti-Doping Rule Violation was or should be sanctioned under Article 10.3.2 (Filing Failures and/or Missed Tests).

NSF (Reduced sanction for No Significant Fault or Negligence): The Anti-Doping Rule Violation was or should be sanctioned by a reduced sanction under Article 10.5.2 because No Significant Fault or Negligence under Article 10.5.2 was established by the Participant.

St (Standard sanction under Article 10.2 or 10.3.1): The Anti-Doping Rule Violation was or should be sanctioned by the standard sanction of two years under Article 10.2 or 10.3.1.

AS (Aggravated sanction): The Anti-Doping Rule Violation was or should be sanctioned by an aggravated sanction under Article 10.6 because the RMA established the conditions set out under Article 10.6.

TRA (Trafficking or Attempted Trafficking and administration or Attempted administration): The Anti-Doping Rule Violation was or should be sanctioned by a sanction under Article 10.3.3.



10.7.2 Application of Articles 10.5.3 and 10.5.4 to second Anti-Doping Rule Violation:

Where a Person who has committed a second Anti-Doping Rule Violation establishes an entitlement to suspension or reduction of a portion of the period of Ineligibility under Article 10.5.3 or Article 10.5.4, the hearing panel shall first determine the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility within the range established in the table at Article 10.7.1, and then apply the appropriate reduction or suspension, provided that the remaining period of Ineligibility after such reduction or suspension must be at least one-fourth (1/4) of the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility.

10.7.3 Third Anti-Doping Rule Violation:

A third Anti-Doping Rule Violation will always result in a lifetime period of Ineligibility, unless the third Anti-Doping Rule Violation fulfils the conditions for elimination or reduction of the period of Ineligibility under Article 10.4 or is an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 2.4 (Filing Failures and/or Missed Tests), in which case the period of Ineligibility shall be from eight years to life.

10.7.4 Additional rules for certain potential multiple offences:

- a. A second Anti-Doping Rule Violation may only be considered for the purposes of imposing sanctions under Article 10.7 if the RMA can establish that the Participant committed the second Anti-Doping Rule Violation after he/she received notice, or after the RMA or its designee made a reasonable attempt to give notice, of the first Anti-Doping Rule Violation. Otherwise, the Anti-Doping Rule Violations shall be considered as one single first Anti-Doping Rule Violation, and the sanction imposed shall be based on the Anti-Doping Rule Violation that carries the more severe sanction. However, the occurrence of multiple Anti-Doping Rule Violations may be considered as a factor in determining aggravated circumstances under Article 10.6.
- b. If, after the resolution of a first Anti-Doping Rule Violation, the RMA discovers a second Anti-Doping Rule Violation by the same Participant that occurred prior to notification of the first Anti-Doping Rule Violation, then an additional sanction shall be imposed based on the sanction that could have been imposed if the two Anti-Doping Rule Violations had been adjudicated at the same time. Results in all Competitions dating back to the earlier Anti-Doping Rule Violation will be subject to Disqualification in accordance with Article 10.8. To avoid the possibility of a finding of aggravating circumstances under Article 10.6 on account of the earlier-in-time-but-later discovered Anti-Doping Rule Violation, the Participant must voluntarily admit the earlier Anti-Doping Rule Violation on a timely basis after being charged with the later Anti-Doping Rule Violation. The same rule shall also apply when the RMA discovers another prior Anti-Doping Rule Violation after the resolution of a second Anti-Doping Rule Violation.

10.7.5 Multiple Anti-Doping Rule Violations during an eight-year period:

Any prior Anti-Doping Rule Violation shall only be taken into account for purposes of Article 10.7 if it took place within eight (8) years of the Anti-Doping Rule Violation now under consideration.

10.8 Disqualification of Results in Competitions Taking Place After the Commission of the Anti-Doping Rule Violation

Unless fairness requires otherwise, in addition to the automatic Disqualification of results under Article 9.1 and Article 10.1, any other results obtained by the Player, in Competitions taking place after the date the Sample in question was collected or other Anti-Doping Rule Violation occurred, shall be Disqualified, with all of the resulting consequences, including forfeiture of any medals, titles, points and prizes.



10.9 Commencement of Ineligibility Period

The period of Ineligibility shall start on the date of the decision providing for Ineligibility, save as follows:

- 10.9.1 Where there have been substantial delays in the hearing process or other aspects of Doping Control that are not attributable to the Participant charged, the period of Ineligibility may be deemed to have started at an earlier date, commencing as far back as the date that the Anti-Doping Rule Violation last occurred (which, in the case of an Article 2.1 violation, shall be the date that the Sample in question was collected).
- 10.9.2 Where the Participant promptly (which means, in any event, before he/she participates again) admits the Anti-Doping Rule Violation after being confronted with it, the period of Ineligibility may be deemed to have started at an earlier date, commencing as far back as the date the Anti-Doping Rule Violation last occurred (which, in the case of an Article 2.1 violation, shall be the date that the Sample was collected). However, the discretion to back-date under this Article is limited as follows: the Participant must actually serve at least one-half of the period of Ineligibility imposed, i.e., the commencement date of the period of Ineligibility cannot be backdated such that he/she actually serves less than one-half of that period.
- 10.9.3 Any period of Provisional Suspension (whether imposed or voluntarily accepted) that has been respected by the Participant shall be credited against the total period of Ineligibility to be served. To get credit for any period of voluntary Provisional Suspension, however, the Participant must have given written notice at the beginning of such period to the RMA (and the RMA shall copy that notice to each Interested Party). No credit under this Article shall be given for any time period before the effective date of the Provisional Suspension (whether imposed or voluntarily accepted), regardless of the Participant's status during such period.

10.10 Status During Ineligibility

- 10.10.1 A Participant who has been declared Ineligible may not, during the period of Ineligibility, participate in any capacity (or, in the case of Player Support Personnel, assist any Player participating in any capacity) in a Competition, Event or other activity (other than authorised anti-doping education or rehabilitation programmes) organised, convened, authorised or recognised by The Golf Union of Wales Ltd or by any body that is a member of, or affiliated to, or licensed by The Golf Union of Wales Ltd. In addition, save where the Anti-Doping Rule Violation involved only a Specified Substance, some or all financial support or benefits (if any) that The Golf Union of Wales Ltd might have otherwise provided to the Participant shall be withheld. In addition, The Golf Union of Wales Ltd shall take all steps within its power to have the period of Ineligibility recognised and enforced by all other Signatories pursuant to Code Article 15.4.
- 10.10.2 Where an Event that will take place after the period of Ineligibility has an entry deadline that falls during the period of Ineligibility, the Player may submit an application for entry in the Event in accordance with that deadline, notwithstanding that at the time of such application he or she is still Ineligible.
- 10.10.3 Further to Code Article 10.9, a Participant who is subject to a period of Ineligibility from one sport of more than four years may, after completing four years of the period of Ineligibility, participate in local sport events in another sport, but only so long as the local sport event is not at a level that could otherwise qualify such Person directly or indirectly to compete in (or accumulate points toward) a national championship or International Event.
- 10.10.4 A Player who is Ineligible shall remain subject to Testing during the period of Ineligibility.
- 10.10.5 If a Participant who is Ineligible violates the prohibition against participation set out in Article 10.10.1, any results he/she obtained during such participation shall be Disqualified, with all resulting consequences, including forfeiture of all medals, titles, points and prizes, and the period of Ineligibility shall start over again as of the date of the violation. The new period of Ineligibility may be reduced under Article 10.5.2 if the Participant establishes that he/she bears No Significant Fault or Negligence for the violation. Determinations



under this Article shall be made by the Anti-Doping Organisation which brought the charge that led to the initial period of Ineligibility.

10.11 Reinstatement

- 10.11.1 In order to be eligible for reinstatement at the end of any period of Ineligibility, a Player must, during any period of Provisional Suspension and/or Ineligibility, (a) make himself available for Out-of-Competition Testing by the NADO and any other Anti-Doping Organisation having jurisdiction to test him; and (b) if requested, provide current and accurate whereabouts information for this purpose.
- 10.11.2 If a Player who is subject to a period of Ineligibility retires from representative/elite men's amateur golf and later seeks reinstatement, he or she shall not be eligible for reinstatement until he or she has notified The Golf Union of Wales Ltd and the NADO of his/her desire for reinstatement and has made himself available for Out-of-Competition Testing for a period of time equal to the period of Ineligibility that remained to be served as of the date that the Player retired.
- 10.11.3 Provided the conditions in Article 10.11.1 and 10.11.2 have been met, once a Person's period of Ineligibility has expired and the Participant has fulfilled all conditions of reinstatement, has satisfied in full all forfeiture penalties due under these Rules and any costs order made against him/her by a NADP tribunal and/or CAS, the Participant will become automatically re-eligible to compete and no application by the Participant for reinstatement will then be necessary (unless the Participant is otherwise ineligible for reasons not related to the Anti-Doping Rule Violation for which the expired period of Ineligibility was imposed).
- 10.11.4 The RMA may in its absolute discretion establish an instalment plan for payment of any prize money forfeited under these Rules. The payment schedule may extend beyond any period of Ineligibility imposed on the Player in question. In such a case, the Player will be eligible to compete at the end of the period of Ineligibility provided no sums are overdue under that plan. If sums subsequently become overdue, the Player shall be automatically Ineligible again until all remaining sums payable under such plan (or, at the RMA's absolute discretion, only the overdue amounts) are paid in full.

Article 11: Consequences To Teams

In a Team Sport or a sport in which awards are given to teams:

- 11.1 Where more than one member of a team has been notified of a possible Anti-Doping Rule Violation in connection with an Event, the team may be subjected to Target Testing during the Event Period.
- 11.2 If more than two members of a team are found to have committed an Anti-Doping Rule Violation during an Event Period, this shall be treated as misconduct pursuant to The Golf Union of Wales Ltd's disciplinary rules for which an appropriate sanction shall be imposed on the team (e.g., loss of points, disqualification from a Competition or Event, or other sanction) over and above any Consequences that are imposed on the individual team members under these Rules. In addition, the Event organiser may provide in the Event rules for further (Event-specific) sanctions to be imposed on the team in such circumstances.

Article 12: Sanctions against Sporting Bodies

[Intentionally left blank].



Article 13: Appeals

13.1 Appeal Rights

Decisions made under these Rules may be challenged only by appeal exclusively as set out in this Article 13. Such decisions shall remain in effect while under appeal unless the appellate body orders otherwise.

13.2 Appeals from TUE Decisions

13.2.1 The Player, The Golf Union of Wales Ltd and/or the NADO may appeal a decision by the UK TUE Committee on the Player's TUE application, in whole or in part, to the UK TUE Appeal Panel in the manner set out in the UK Anti-Doping Procedures Guide. Alternatively, an International-Level Player may appeal any such decision to CAS.

13.2.2 Where the UK TUE Committee fails to take action on a properly submitted TUE application within a reasonable time, such failure may be considered a denial for purposes of the appeal rights set out in this Article 13.2.

13.2.3 If the UK TUE Appeal Panel upholds a Player's appeal in whole or in part, The Golf Union of Wales Ltd, the NADO and/or WADA may appeal that decision to CAS.

13.2.4 A decision by WADA reversing the grant or denial of a TUE pursuant to Article 4.5.2 may be appealed exclusively to CAS by the Player, The Golf Union of Wales Ltd or the NADO, in accordance with Article 13.4, or by the International Golf Federation, in accordance with its rules

13.3 Appeals from Provisional Suspensions

See Article 7.7.3.

13.4 Appeals from Other Decisions

13.4.1 The following decisions -- a decision that an Anti-Doping Rule Violation was (or was not) committed, a decision imposing Consequences (or not imposing Consequences) for an Anti-Doping Rule Violation; a decision that a charge cannot go forward for procedural reasons (e.g., because of lapse of time); a decision that the RMA or a hearing panel lacks jurisdiction to deal with an alleged Anti-Doping Rule Violation; a decision not to bring forward an Adverse Analytical Finding or an Atypical Finding as an Anti-Doping Rule Violation under Article 7.2 or 7.3, or a decision not to go forward with a charge after an investigation under Article 7.4; a decision to suspend a period of Ineligibility in accordance with Article 10.5.3; a decision to reinstate a suspended period of Ineligibility in accordance with Article 10.5.3; and a decision under Article 10.10.5 -- may be appealed by any of the following parties exclusively as provided in this Article 13:

- a. the Participant who is the subject of the decision being appealed;
- b. The Golf Union of Wales Ltd;
- c. the NADO;
- d. the National Anti-Doping Organisation(s) of the Person's country of residence, country of nationality, and country where he/she is licensed to participate in sport (if different from the NADO);
- e. the International Golf Federation;



- f. any other Anti-Doping Organisation under whose rules a sanction could have been imposed for the Anti-Doping Rule Violation in question;
- g. the International Olympic Committee or International Paralympic Committee, as applicable, where the decision may have an effect in relation to the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games, including decisions affecting eligibility for the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games; and
- h. WADA.

In the absence of any such appeal, such decisions shall be final and binding on all of the above Persons.

13.4.2 Subject to Article 13.5, an appeal pursuant to Article 13.4.1 shall be made as follows:

- a. In a case arising from participation in an International Event or involving an International-Level Player, the appeal shall be made to CAS, following the procedures set out in CAS's Code of Sports-related Arbitration and in Article 13.7 of these Rules, save that the standard of review shall be as follows:
 - i. Where required in order to do justice (e.g., to cure procedural errors), the appeal shall take the form of a rehearing de novo, i.e., CAS shall hear the matter over again, from the beginning, without being bound in any way by the decision being appealed.
 - ii. In all other cases, the appeal shall not take the form of a de novo hearing. Instead the appellant shall be required to establish that the decision being appealed was made in error; and
- b. In all other cases, the appeal shall be made to the NADP, in accordance with Article 12 of the NADP Rules, and following the procedures set out in the NAPD Rules and in Article 13.7 of these Rules.

13.5 Appeals by WADA

- a. Notwithstanding any other provision of these Rules, where WADA has a right of appeal under these Rules against a decision, and no other party has appealed against that decision, WADA may appeal such decision directly to CAS without having first to exhaust any other remedy, including (without limitation) without having to appeal to an NADP appeal tribunal.
- b. Where WADA considers that the RMA has failed within a reasonable deadline to make a decision with respect to whether an Anti-Doping Rule Violation was committed, WADA shall have a right of appeal to CAS as if the RMA had rendered a decision finding no Anti-Doping Rule Violation. If CAS determines that an Anti-Doping Rule Violation was committed and that WADA acted reasonably in electing to appeal directly to CAS, then WADA's reasonable costs in pursuing the appeal shall be reimbursed to WADA by the RMA.

13.6 Appeals from NADP Appeal Tribunal Decisions

Decisions of an NADP appeal tribunal may be challenged by the International Federation or by WADA, by appeal to CAS. Subject thereto, such decisions shall be the full, final and complete disposition of the appeal and will be binding on all of the Persons identified in Article 13.4.1.

13.7 Appeal Procedure

- 13.7.1 The time to file an appeal to the NADP or to CAS (as applicable) shall be twenty-one (21) days from the date of receipt of the decision by the appealing party; save that:



- a. Within ten (10) days of receipt of the decision, a potential appellant that was not a party to the proceedings that gave rise to the decision shall have the right to request from the body that issued the decision a copy of the file on which such body relied. It shall then have twenty-one (21) days from receipt of the file to file an appeal.
 - b. The filing deadline for an appeal filed by WADA shall be the later of:
 - i. Twenty-one (21) days after the last day on which any other party in the case could have appealed; and
 - ii. Twenty-one (21) days after WADA's receipt of a copy of the file on which the body that issued the decision relied.
- 13.7.2 Each Interested Party, if not joined as a party to the appeal, shall have the right to be kept apprised of the status and outcome (with reasons) of the appeal, as well as the right to attend appeal hearings as an observer.
- 13.7.3 A decision on appeal that an Anti-Doping Rule Violation has been committed shall be disclosed publicly without delay, unless a further appeal right exists, in which case the decision shall not be disclosed publicly (a) until the deadline for appeal has passed and no appeal has been filed; or (b) if an appeal is filed, unless and until the decision that an Anti-Doping Rule Violation has been committed has been affirmed on appeal.
- 13.7.4 A decision on appeal that an Anti-Doping Rule Violation has not been committed shall not be disclosed publicly unless the Participant who is the subject of the decision consents to such disclosure. Where he/she does not so consent, a summary of the decision may be disclosed publicly, provided that what is disclosed does not enable the public to identify the Participant.

Article 14: Confidentiality and Reporting

14.1 Reporting of Pending Cases

- 14.1.1 Any notice given to Interested Parties and/or other third parties of pending cases pursuant to these Rules shall be provided to them on the confidential basis set out in Code Article 14.1.5.
- 14.1.2 Subject to Article 7.7.6, the identity of a Participant charged with an Anti-Doping Rule Violation shall not be publicly disclosed except in accordance with Article 8.4 and Article 13.7. Where such public disclosure is permitted under those Articles, the Participant's identity shall be disclosed publicly, including on the NADO's website.
- 14.1.3 The RMA will not comment publicly on the specific facts of a pending case (as opposed to general description of process and science) except in response to public comments attributed to the Participant charged or his/her representatives.

14.2 Reporting of Testing

To ensure efficient use of anti-doping resources, completed tests conducted pursuant to these Rules shall be reported by the RMA publicly, including through WADA, as soon as possible after such tests have been conducted.

14.3 Reporting under the Code

The number of Adverse Analytical Findings and Anti-Doping Rule Violations arising under these Rules shall be publicly reported by the RMA, as a minimum on a quarterly basis.



Article 15: Recognition of Decisions

- 15.1 The Testing, TUEs and hearing results or other final adjudications of any Signatory that are consistent with the Code and are within that Signatory's authority shall be recognised and respected by The Golf Union of Wales Ltd, its member and affiliate organisations, its licensees and all those subject to these Rules automatically upon receipt of the same, without the need for further formality
- 15.2 The same actions of non-Signatories shall also be recognised and respected if such actions are consistent with the Code.

Article 16: Challenges to a Decision or these Rules

16.1 Governing Law and Jurisdiction

- a. Subject to Article 1.5.4, these Rules and all matters and proceedings arising in connection with the Rules shall be governed by the laws of Wales & Wales.
- b. These Rules shall constitute an agreement to arbitrate, and proceedings before an NADP first instance tribunal pursuant to Article 8, or before an NADP appeal tribunal pursuant to Article 13, shall constitute arbitration proceedings with a seat in Wales or Wales to which the Arbitration Act 1996 shall apply.
- c. To the greatest extent allowable under applicable law:
 - i. any challenge to these Rules or to a decision made pursuant to these Rules shall be made exclusively in accordance with the provisions of Article 13, and shall not be made by recourse to any court or other forum; and
 - ii. all Participants shall be deemed to have waived irrevocably any right to appeal against, to seek review of, or otherwise to challenge any decision made by a first instance NADP tribunal, an NADP appeal tribunal, or a CAS Panel under these Rules.
- d. Subject strictly to Article 16.1(c), the courts of Wales & Wales shall have exclusive jurisdiction in relation to these Rules and any decision made hereunder

16.2 Limitation of Liability

None of The Golf Union of Wales Ltd, the NADO, or any of their respective members, directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives and other Persons involved in the administration of the Rules shall be liable to any Person in any way, in relation to acts done or omitted to be done in good faith in connection with the policing and enforcement of these Rules.

16.3 Severability

If any part of these Rules is held invalid, unenforceable or illegal for any reason, these Rules shall remain otherwise in full force apart from such part, which shall be deemed deleted insofar as it is invalid, unenforceable or illegal.



Article 17: Miscellaneous

17.1 Data

- 17.1.1 The Golf Union of Wales Ltd and the NADO shall comply with the International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Data Protection and with applicable data protection and privacy laws in respect of the handling of personal information provided to them under these Rules.
- 17.1.2 Any Participant who submits information including personal data to any Person in accordance with these Rules shall be deemed to have agreed, both pursuant to the Data Protection Act 1998 and otherwise, that such information may be collected, processed and disclosed by such Person for the purposes of the implementation of, these Rules, in accordance with the International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Data Protection.

17.2 Notices

- 17.2.1 All written notices or other written communications given or made under or referred to in these Rules shall be governed by the provisions of this Article.
- 17.2.2 Each Player in the National Registered Testing Pool or Domestic Pool shall provide The Golf Union of Wales Ltd and the NADO with a proper postal address to which notice may be delivered. In the event of a change of address, it is the responsibility of the Player to provide The Golf Union of Wales Ltd and the NADO with such amended details.
- 17.2.3 Notice to a Player in the National Registered Testing Pool or Domestic Pool shall be delivered by first class registered post to the address provided by that Player pursuant to Article 17.2.2. Such notice shall be deemed to have been received upon the expiry of three (3) working days after the date of posting.
- 17.2.4 Notice to any other Person shall be accomplished by sending the notice first class registered post to the address provided by that Person to The Golf Union of Wales Ltd, or to the last known address of such Person, as applicable. Such notice shall be deemed to have been received upon the expiry of three (3) working days after the date of posting.
- 17.2.5 The Golf Union of Wales Ltd or the NADO may, at its discretion, as an alternative to, or in conjunction with notice by post, use any other method of secure and confidential communication available, including but not limited to facsimile, email and/or telephone. In the case of such means of communication, there shall be no deemed receipt; if disputed by the Person, actual receipt must be proved.
- 17.2.6 Written notice or other written communications to The Golf Union of Wales Ltd or the NADO given or made under or referred to in these Rules shall be accomplished by hand delivery or by first class registered post to The Golf Union of Wales Ltd or the NADO at its registered office or the fax number listed on its official website and shall be deemed to have been given or served on The Golf Union of Wales Ltd or the NADO on the day of delivery (if delivered by hand or faxed before 5 pm on a business day; otherwise, on the next business day) or upon the expiry of three (3) working days after the date of posting (if delivered by first class registered post), as applicable.
- 17.2.7 Written notices or other written communications given or made under, or referred to in these Rules, other than as set out in the preceding sub-articles, shall be accomplished by sending the notice by first class registered post to the address of the addressee. Such notice shall be deemed to have been received upon the expiry of three (3) working days after the date of posting.



17.3 Matters Not Otherwise Provided For

Where a matter arises that is not otherwise provided for in these Rules, the Person or body called upon to resolve the matter shall have discretion to do so in such manner as he/she/it sees fit, provided that such resolution does not materially undermine the reliability of proceedings under these Rules or otherwise cause material injustice to the Participant to whom the Rules are being applied.



Appendix One: Definitions

ADAMS:

The Anti-Doping Administration and Management System maintained by WADA.

Adverse Analytical Finding:

A report from a laboratory or other WADA-approved entity that, consistent with the International Standard for Testing and related technical documents, identifies in a Sample the presence of a Prohibited Substance or any of its Metabolites or Markers (including elevated quantities of endogenous substances) or evidence of the Use of a Prohibited Method.

Anti-Doping Organisation:

A Signatory that is responsible for adopting rules for initiating, implementing or enforcing any part of the Doping Control process. This includes, for example, the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, other Major Event Organisations that conduct Testing at their Events, WADA, the International Federation, and National Anti-Doping Organisations such as the NADO.

Anti-Doping Rule Violation:

One of the prohibited acts or omissions set out at Article 2.

Attempt:

Purposely engaging in conduct that constitutes a substantial step in a course of conduct planned to culminate in the commission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation. Provided, however, there shall be no Anti-Doping Rule Violation based solely on an Attempt if the Participant renounces the Attempt prior to it being discovered by a third party not involved in the Attempt.

Atypical Finding:

A report from a laboratory or other WADA-approved entity that requires further investigation as provided by the International Standard for Laboratories or related technical documents prior to the determination of an Adverse Analytical Finding.

CAS:

The Court of Arbitration for Sport in Lausanne, Switzerland.

Code:

See Article 1.1.1.

Competition:

A single race, match, game or other athletic contest.

Consequences:

An Anti-Doping Rule Violation may result in one or more of the following:

- a. Disqualification means the Player's results in a particular Competition or Event are invalidated, with all resulting consequences including forfeiture of any medals, titles, points and prizes;
- b. Ineligibility means the Participant is barred for a specified period of time from participating in any Competition or other activity or funding, in accordance with Article 10.10; and
- c. Provisional Suspension means the Participant is barred temporarily from participating in the sport pending determination of a charge that he/she has committed an Anti-Doping Rule Violation, as provided in Article 7.7.

Disqualification:

See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations, above.

Domestic Pool:

See Article 4.2.4(b).

**Doping Control:**

All steps and processes from test distribution planning through to ultimate disposition of any appeal, including all steps in between, such as provision of whereabouts information, Sample collection and handling, laboratory analysis, TUEs, results management, hearings and appeals.

Effective Date:

1 January 2009.

Golf Union of Wales Ltd:

The Governing Body for Amateur Golf in Wales.

Event:

A series of individual Competitions conducted together under one ruling body (e.g., the Olympic Games).

Event Period:

The time between the beginning and the end of an Event, as established by the ruling body of the Event.

Filing Failure:

See Article 2.4.

In-Competition:

The period commencing twelve (12) hours before a Competition in which the Athlete is scheduled to participate through to the end of such Competition and the Sample collection process related to such Competition.

Independent Observers:

A team of observers, under the supervision of WADA, who observe and may provide guidance on the Doping Control process at certain Events and report on their observations.

Ineligibility:

See Consequences.

Interested Party:

The International Federation, WADA, the NGB or NADO (whichever of them is not the RMA for the matter in question) and any other Anti-Doping Organisation that has a right to appeal the decision in question under Article 13.4.

International Event:

An Event where the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, an International Federation, Major Event Organisation, or another international sport organisation is the ruling body for the Event or appoints the technical officials for the Event.

International-Level Players:

See Article 1.2.1(a)(i).

International Registered Testing Pool:

A pool of Players designated by an International Federation in accordance with IST Article 11.2.

International Standard:

A standard adopted by WADA in support of the Code (including any technical documents issued pursuant to such standard). Compliance with an International Standard (as opposed to another alternative standard, practice or procedure) shall be sufficient to conclude that the procedures addressed by the International Standard were performed properly. The International Standards in force as of the Effective Date are set out in the appendices to these Rules. However, WADA's Executive Committee may approve revisions to an International Standard at any time, and such revisions shall become effective in relation to the Rules on the date specified by WADA, without the need for any further action by WADA, the NGB or the NADO. In the case of



any differences between the International Standards as set out in the appendices to these Rules and the International Standards in effect and published on WADA's website, the latter shall prevail.

International Standard for Laboratories:

The International Standard of the same name adopted by WADA in support of the Code, the current version of which (as of the Effective Date) is set out at Appendix Five.

International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Data Protection:

The International Standard of the same name adopted by WADA in support of the Code, the current version of which (as of the Effective Date) is set out at Appendix Six.

International Standard for Testing (or IST):

The International Standard of the same name adopted by WADA in support of the Code, the current version of which (as of the Effective Date) is set out at Appendix Four.

International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions:

The International Standard of the same name adopted by WADA in support of the Code, the current version of which (as of the Effective Date) is set out in Appendix Three.

Major Event Organisation:

The continental associations of National Olympic Committees and other international multi-sport organisations that function as the ruling body for any continental, regional or other International Event.

Marker:

A compound, group of compounds or biological parameter(s) that indicate(s) the Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method.

Metabolite:

Any substance produced by a biotransformation process.

Minor:

A Person under the age of 18.

Missed Test:

See Article 2.4.

NADO:

The Drug-Free Directorate of UK Sport, or its successor.

NADP:

See National Anti-Doping Panel.

NADP Rules:

The rules issued by the National Anti-Doping Panel, as amended from time to time, setting out the procedures to be followed by NADP arbitral tribunals and NADP appeal tribunals in matters referred to them under these Rules. The NADP Rules in force as of the Effective Date are set out at Appendix Seven.

National Anti-Doping Organisation:

The entity designated by each country as possessing the primary authority and responsibility to adopt and implement anti-doping rules, direct the collection of Samples, the management of test results, and the conduct of hearings, all at the national level. This includes an entity which may be designated by multiple countries to serve as regional Anti-Doping Organisation for such countries. If this designation has not been made by the competent public authorities, the entity shall be the country's National Olympic Committee or its designee. The National Anti-Doping Organisation for the UK is the entity defined under these Rules as "the NADO".

**National Anti-Doping Panel:**

The panel of arbitrators administered by Sport Resolutions (UK) or its successor to whom matters may be referred under Articles 8 and/or 13.

National Anti-Doping Policy:

The document of that name issued by or on behalf of the UK Government, compliance with which is a condition of access to public funding in the UK.

National Event:

An Event held in the UK that does not qualify as an International Event.

National Olympic Committee:

The organisation recognised by the International Olympic Committee. The term National Olympic Committee shall also include the National Sport Confederation in those countries where the National Sport Confederation assumes typical National Olympic Committee responsibilities in the anti-doping area.

National Registered Testing Pool:

See Article 5.4.1.

No Advance Notice:

Testing that takes place with no advance warning to the Player and where the Player is continuously chaperoned from the moment of notification through Sample collection.

No Fault or Negligence:

The Player establishing that he or she did not know or suspect, and could not reasonably have known or suspected, even with the exercise of utmost caution, that he or she had Used or been administered the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method.

No Significant Fault or Negligence:

The Player establishing that his/her fault or negligence, when viewed in the totality of the circumstances and taking into account the criteria for No Fault or Negligence, was not significant in relation to the Anti-Doping Rule Violation.

Out-of-Competition:

Any period which is not In-Competition.

Participant:

Any Player or Player Support Personnel.

Person:

A natural person or an organisation or other entity.

Player:

See Article 1.2.1(a); provided that for purposes of Article 2.8 only the term 'Player' encompasses any Person who participates at any level in any sport under the authority of any Signatory, government or other sports organisation accepting the Code.



**Player Support Personnel:**

See Article 1.2.1(b).

Possession:

The actual, physical Possession, or the constructive Possession (which shall be found only if the Participant has exclusive control over the Prohibited Substance/Method or the premises in which a Prohibited Substance/Method exists or if the Participant knew about the presence of the Prohibited Substance/Method and intended to exercise control over it). Provided, however there shall be no Anti-Doping Rule Violation based solely on Possession if, prior to receiving notification of any kind that he/she has committed an Anti-Doping Rule Violation, the Participant has taken concrete action demonstrating that he/she never intended to have Possession and has renounced Possession by explicitly declaring it to an Anti-Doping Organisation. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this definition, the purchase (including by any electronic or other means) of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method constitutes Possession by the Participant who makes the purchase.

Prohibited List:

The Prohibited List issued by WADA, identifying the Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods, as amended from time to time, the current version of which (as of the Effective Date) is set out at Appendix Two.

Prohibited Method:

Any method so described on the Prohibited List.

Prohibited Substance:

Any substance so described on the Prohibited List.

Provisional Suspension:

See Consequences.

Results Management Authority:

The body or bodies with authority to manage results, conduct investigations, and present cases and/or appeals to the NADP or the CAS under these Rules. Either the NGB or the NADO shall be the RMA, or they shall split the RMA's functions between themselves, as they may agree from time to time.

Review Board:

A panel of experts set up by the NGB or the NADO (as agreed between them) to carry out the functions ascribed to the Review Board in these Rules. Such functions shall be carried out in accordance with the Anti-Doping Procedures Guide for UK Sport.

RMA:

See Results Management Authority.

Rules:

See Article 1.1.1.

Sample:

Any biological material collected for the purposes of Doping Control.

Signatories:

Those entities signing the Code and agreeing to comply with the Code, including the International Olympic Committee, International Federations, International Paralympic Committee, National Olympic Committees, National Paralympic Committees, Major Event Organisations, National Anti-Doping Organisations, and WADA.

Specified Substance:

See Article 3.3.1.

**Substantial Assistance:**

For purposes of Article 10.5.3, a Participant providing Substantial Assistance must: (1) fully disclose in a signed written statement all information that he/she possesses in relation to Anti-Doping Rule Violations; and (2) fully cooperate with the investigation and adjudication of any case related to that information, including (for example) by testifying at a hearing if requested to do so by the RMA or the hearing panel. Further, the information provided must be credible and must comprise an important part of any case that is initiated or, if no case is initiated, must have provided a sufficient basis upon which such a case could have been brought.

Tampering:

Altering for an improper purpose or in an improper way; bringing improper influence to bear; interfering improperly; obstructing, misleading or engaging in any fraudulent conduct to alter results or prevent normal procedures from occurring; or providing fraudulent information to an Anti-Doping Organisation.

Target Testing:

Selection of Players for Testing where specific Players or groups of Players are selected on a non-random basis for Testing at a specified time.

Team Sport:

A sport in which the substitution of players is permitted during a Competition.

Testing:

The parts of the Doping Control process involving test distribution planning, Sample Collection, Sample handling, and Sample transport to the laboratory.

Trafficking:

Selling, giving, administering, transporting, sending, delivering or distributing a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method (either physically or by any electronic or other means) by a Participant to any third party; provided, however, that this definition shall not include (a) the actions of bona fide medical personnel involving a Prohibited Substance used for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes or other acceptable justification; or (b) actions involving Prohibited Substances which are not prohibited in Out-of-Competition Testing unless the circumstances as a whole demonstrate that such Prohibited Substances were not intended for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes.

TUE:

See Article 4.1.2.

UK Anti-Doping Procedures Guide:

The document of that name issued by the NADO, as amended by the NADO from time to time, the current version of which (as of the Effective Date) is set out at Appendix Eight.

UK TUE Committee:

The committee established by the NADO to review TUE applications in accordance with the UK Anti-Doping Procedures Guide.

UK TUE Appeal Panel:

The panel established by the NADO to hear an appeal regarding a denial or conditional grant of a TUE in accordance with the UK Anti-Doping Procedures Guide.

Use:

The utilisation, application, ingestion, injection or consumption by any means whatsoever of any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method.

WADA:

The World Anti-Doping Agency.



Weighted:

A ranking method of selecting Players using criteria where the ranking is based on the potential risk of doping and possible doping patterns.

Appendix Two: The Prohibited List

Appendix Three: International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions

Appendix Four: International Standard for Testing

Appendix Five: International Standard for Laboratories

Appendix Six: International Standard for the Protection of Privacy

Appendix Seven: NADP Rules

Appendix Eight: UK Anti-Doping Procedures

